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Abstract. In 2013, only 16% of households in Oman have reported agriculture as the main occupation and 53% have reported non-agricultural government employment as the main occupation, whilst the balance is employed in the private and non-formal sectors. This situation is hypothesized to be related to the labor market; where government legislated higher remuneration in the non-agricultural government sector vis-a-vis agricultural sector, influences Omani farmers to move to non-agricultural employment, causing reduced cultivated area and farm production. The study uses operations research methods to quantify the impact of labor market policies on agricultural employment, farm gross income and land use intensity. In an national average farm of 0.9 ha with 1.33 persons of family labor available, only 75% land use intensity is achieved, with a household of 1061 OM/Year/Household, which is below legislated minimum income for low skilled employment in the non-agricultural government sector (4632 OM/Year/Household).

The household gross income would increase to 5304 OM/Year/Household with non-agricultural employment of 1 person and 0.33 persons in agricultural employment, which explains low employment of Omani’s in agriculture. Farms of 2.1 ha (5 Feddans), with availability of 1.33 family labor earns a gross return of 2502 OM/Year/Household, which is again less than the salary in the non-agricultural government sector. However, with the current government policy of allowing to hire 1 expatriate laborer per 2.1 ha with 1 Omani person in non-agricultural employment and 0.33 family labor in agricultural employment, gross income increases to 6414 OM/Year/Household and further if temporary labor hiring is allowed at peak farm labor requirements, gross income could be increased to 6632 OM/Year/Household. The current policy on hiring 1 expatriate labor per 2.1 ha along with non-agricultural employment of Omani labor is in the short-run optimal.
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Introduction

Oman being highly dependent on oil revenue since the 1970’s, has recently adopted a policy of revenue diversification, in which the agricultural sector is expected to contribute prominently. However, the substantial exodus of Omani labor from agriculture to non-agricultural employment poses a challenge to sustainable improvement of agriculture and national food security. According to Agricultural Census of Oman in 2013 only 16% of households in the agricultural sector have reported farming as the main occupation and 53% have reported government employment as the main occupation, whilst the balance is employed in the private and non-formal sectors (MAF 2013). This may be due to the distorted labor market; where government legislated, higher remuneration in the non-agricultural sector vis-a-vis agricultural sector, influences...
farmers to move labor to non-agricultural employment. Theoretically, undistorted factor markets are a prerequisite for efficient allocation of resources and a growth in production. This study with the use of operations research methodology quantifies the impact of distorted labor markets on farm revenue, farm land use intensity (proxy of production) to examine the role of labor markets in sustaining domestic agricultural production and national food security in the Sultanate of Oman.

Literature Review

Apergis et al. (2014) based on a study conducted on Middle East and North African countries, for the period of 1970 to 2011 concluded that the boom in the oil sector have had an adverse effect on the agricultural sector. This effect has been attributed to the well-known “Dutch disease” phenomenon, where in particular, the economic boom in the oil sector would increase real wages in the oil related non-agricultural sector, siphoning labor out of the relatively low waged agricultural sector (Reed 2012). The labor allocation between agricultural (on-farm) and non-agricultural (off-farm) sectors has been widely examined and reviewed (Donnellan and Hennessy 2012) and most empirical studies have examined and established the factors causing households to allocate labor from agricultural to non-agricultural employment. These factors include endogenous factors such as intra-household joint decision making between spouses, family size, educational status of individuals, farm income risk, the type of farming system in terms of size and enterprises, and exogenous factors such as labor market conditions, transaction cost of transfer from agricultural to non-agricultural employment, rates of urbanization, government subsidies to different sectors, government payments to non-agricultural labor (Donnellan and Hennessy 2012). Most of the studies are based on the seminal theoretical model proposed by Becker (1965) on household labor allocation and have used econometric methods to establish causative reasons on the shift of agricultural to non-agricultural employment. In contrast, studies examining the effect of non-agricultural employment on agricultural production and productivity are few (Nasir and Hundie 2014). Non-agricultural employment may have both positive impacts on farm productivity through supply of finance (Mathenge and Tschirley 2007) and/or negative impacts of moving labor out of agriculture and constraining its availability to agricultural production (Nasir and Hundie 2014). The studies suggest that the net impacts of labor shift from agriculture to non-agricultural employment may impinge adversely on local food production and food security.

In Oman, as table 1 indicates, in 2012 the main employment (by income) of Omani “farmers” has been mostly in the non-agricultural government sector (52.9%), whereas agricultural employment has been only 15.9% (MAF 2013). Thus the indications are that Omani farmers are leaving agriculture and opting for non-agricultural employment and expatriate labor is substituting Omani agricultural labor. The causes and implications of this phenomenon on farm production have not been empirically examined to date and are undertaken by this study.

Methodology

The hypothesis of this study is that the shift of agricultural to non-agricultural employment of Omani labor is caused by labor market conditions of relative remunerations of agricultural and non-agricultural sectors, which households consider in labor allocation decision making. Becker (1965) proposed that households maximize utility, which is a function of consumption of commodities and time allocated between work and leisure. Becker’s proposition could be used to model farm household decision making where the household is considered to maximize utility, over consumption (with income as a proxy) generated through agricultural production and its sales and allocation of labor between agricultural, non-agricultural labor and leisure (Singh, Squire and Saupe 1989; Gould and Lange 1989; Weersink, Nicholson and Weerahewa 1998).

A farmer is considered to maximize utility ($U$) which is function of consumption ($C$) and leisure time ($L$), as expressed by equation (1) subject to constraints of time ($T$) and household budget. $T$ is finite and is allocated between leisure ($L$), off-farm (nonagricultural) labor ($O$) and on-farm (agricultural) labor ($F$) as expressed by equation (2). Consumption of commodities ($C$) is dependent on returns to labor and commodities purchased at price $P$. $C$ is constrained by the budget (equation 3) where $C$ at its extreme is considered to be equal to income and income is dependent on agricultural labor ($O$) and its wage ($w$), and the agricultural profit. The agricultural profit is the product of price of farm products ($P_f$) and volume of production ($Y_f$) less the cost of production, which is the product of cost of farm inputs ($I_f$) including labor that could be hired and volume of inputs ($X_f$). The non-agricultural wage ($w$) depends on labor market conditions. The farmer in maximizing utility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Farmer’s main employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Employment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-agricultural private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MAF (2013)
The theoretical model elicited above on household labor allocation, is applied in this study, with simplified assumptions of considering a single household decision maker, maximizing utility on monetary gain, and ignoring many other complexities and realities (as reviewed in section 2). The structure of the theoretical model is applied to a farm context using linear equations and solved using a linear programming algorithm. The proxy for utility is considered income derived from agricultural production and non-agricultural income, which is maximized constrained to agricultural production and labor availability (family and hired labor) and labor market conditions. Agricultural production of crop and livestock, is dependent on, resource availability and technology of production. The farm linear programming model is given below as equations (4) to (7). The model considers annual farm production, as non-agricultural employment is considered to be annually fixed. Two seasons of vegetable and forage crop cultivation is considered with annual crop extent that is fixed.

**Maximize:** \[ U = f(C, L) \]  
**Subject to constraints of:**

\[ T = L + O + F \]  
\[ C P_i = w_i O + (P_a Y_i - I_i X_i) \]

The optimization results of a national average size 0.9 a farm (scenario 1), with different labor allocation possibilities, are given in table 2. In Oman a family is classified as poor if it spends more than 60% of the household expenditure on food (MNE, 2010). Accordingly the poverty line is approximately 300 OMR/Month/Household for an average household size of 8.5 members (Mbaga and Kotagama 2010). It is observed in table 2 row (1) that the gross revenue from a 0.9 ha farm is 1061 OMR/Year/Household which is far below the national poverty line is approximately 300 OMR/Month/Household for an average household size of 8.5 members (Mbaga and Kotagama 2010). It is observed in table 2 row (1) that the gross revenue from a 0.9 ha farm is 1061 OMR/Year/Household which is far below the national poverty threshold defined above which is 3600 OMR/Year/Household. As mentioned above 70% of the farms are classified as poor. Further farm income which is only 88 OMR/Month/Household is substantially lower than the minimum wage offered to unskilled labor by the non-agricultural government sector. Thus, there is a compulsive incentive to move away from agricultural to non-agricultural
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employment to improve household income. The full extent of 0.9 ha is not cultivated and only 75% land use intensity is achieved. Of a total availability of 1.33 Persons/Year of family labor, only 0.55 Persons/Year is used in farming. As evident in row (2) of table 2 a single family member employed in non-agricultural sector increases the income to 5304 OMR/Year/Household. However the land use intensity decreases by 11% (from 75% to 61%) resulting to a decrease in farm production. The decrease in land use intensity is caused by scarcity of family labor. Hiring labor for farms below 2.1 ha is illegal. However, if hypothetically the farm is allowed to hire 1 Person/Year permanent labor, that would increase land use intensity but the farm income would decrease to 4973 OMR/Year/Household (row 3 in table 2) and only 0.55 Persons/Year of hired labor would be used in the farm. Hence it is not rational to hire permanent labor to small farms for farming activities only. Hired permanent labor if allocated to farm and other household work may be rational and would also increase land use intensity thus farm production.

The farm optimization results for a 2.1 ha farm are given in table 3 (scenario 2). As evident from line (1) use of only family labor achieves only 75% land use efficiency. It is also that the gross revenue is 2502 OMR/Year/Household which is below the national poverty line which is 3600 OMR/Year/Household. Thus there is an incentive for Omani labor to move to non-agricultural employment and as evident in line 2 with non-agricultural employment of 1 Omani labor household income increases 2 fold to 5320 OMR/Year/Household. However land use intensity decreases to 28% with resulting decrease in farm production, due to labor scarcity. The shadow price of labor (marginal value product of labor) is estimated as 102 OMR/Month/Person and compared to the wage for hired expatriate labor 60 OMR/Month/Person, justifies hiring of labor. With employment of 1 expatriate labor per 2.1 ha (5 Feddans) as per government labor legislation, the gross revenue increases to 6414 OMR/Year/household and achieves a land use intensity of 75%. However it is found that labor constraints prevail during planting and harvesting periods and allowing for temporary labor employment for those periods, increases the gross revenue to 6632 OMR/Year/Household and land use intensity to 94% resulting to increased farm production.

In conclusion, income from an average 0.9 ha farm that uses family labor is below the national poverty line of a household, providing strong incentives for farmers to fetch for non-agricultural employment with legislatively higher income. Oman has thus been experiencing a movement of labor from agriculture to non-agricultural sector at the cost of reduced land use intensity and thus reduced local food production. On the other hand, relatively larger farms of 2.1 ha too do not generate enough income, to be above the poverty line. This is the incentive for family labor to move out of agricultural to the non-agricultural employment to increase household income. As a consequence farm land is abandoned resulting to low land use intensity and reduced local crop production (scenario 2). Thus the current policy of legislatively allowing employment of 1 expatriate labor per 2.1 ha is optimal in terms of increasing Omani household income and agricultural land use intensity. Land use intensity could be further improved by allowing temporary employment of labor during labor peak requirements of the farm.

The current government policy intervention in the labor market through legislatively determining wage rates in the non-agricultural sector and the low productivity of the farm sector provides and incentive to Omani farm labor to leave agriculture. As a result farm production is reduced. The current policy of allowing the employment of 1 expatriate labor per 2.1 ha (5 Feddans) farm is optimal with allowing for hiring temporary labor during peak labor demanding periods. The gross income and land use intensity of farms could too be improved with allowing for employment of temporary expatriate labor to substitute the Omani labor that moves to non-agricultural labor. A flexible labor market policy of allowing temporary labor hiring will improve Omani household income and local farm production. However the implications of non-Omani management of farms and the long-run feasibility of hiring expatriate labor for farming need to be examined to ensure sustainable farming and food security in Oman. In the long run agricultural pro-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labor Allocation</th>
<th>Gross Revenue (OMR/Year/Household)</th>
<th>Land Extent Cultivated (Hectares)</th>
<th>Land Use Intensity (%)</th>
<th>Labor Use (Persons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Omani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Labor</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-agricultural</td>
<td>5304</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-agricultural</td>
<td>4973</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ductivity need to drastically improve to retain Omanis in agriculture with simultaneous removal of labor market distortions.
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