RESEARCH PAPER

Assessing Food Safety Practices in the Era of COVID-19: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of Restaurant, Retail, and Hotel Sectors in Muscat Governorate, Oman

Shaikha Al-Siabi¹, Mohammed Al-Khusaibi^{1,*}, Lyutha Al-Subhi¹, Ismail Al-Bulushi¹, Mohammed Al-Bulushi²

تقييم مهارسات سلامة الغذاء في عصر كوفيد19-: تحليل مقطعي لقطاعات المطاعم والتجزئة والفنادق في محافظة مسقط، عمان

شيخة السيابية، محمد الخصيبي، ليوثة الصبحية، إسماعيل البلوشي، محمد البلوشي

ABSTRACT. This study evaluated the adherence of food retailers, restaurants and hotels in Muscat Governorate to the precautionary measures to control the spread of COVID-19. This study found that only 18% of hotels implemented a Food System Management System (FSMS) while no restaurant or retailer implemented any FSMS. The majority of food retailers (89%) and restaurants (96%) did not conduct COVID-19 measures assessment while the assessment was conducted by 53% of caterers. All caterers assessed visitors/suppliers on COVID-19 symptoms and 94% of them kept details in a record book, followed by 96 and 76 %, respectively in retailers and restaurants. Safety distancing measure, signs, barriers and one-way system were implemented by different food establishments at different percentages. Covid-19 pandemic resulted in several changes in the food hygiene-related practices, such as hands sanitizing, wearing gloves and wearing masks. In conclusion, the overall adherence level of the food establishments toward health and symptoms monitoring, physical distancing, and face mask wearing was relatively high. The Era resulted in the introduction of hygienic practices that have been always recommended in the food service sector.

KEYWORDS: Food safety, COVID-19, Hygiene, GMP, Pandemic, food handlers; occupational health

الخلاصة: قامت هذه الدراسة بتقييم مدى التزام المتاجر والمطاعم والفنادق في محافظة مسقط بالإجراءات الاحترازية للسيطرة على انتشار كوفيد-19. ووجدت الدراسة أن ١٨٪ فقط من الفنادق قامت بتنفيذ نظام إدارة سلامة الغذاء (FSMS) بينما لم تقم أي من المطاعم أو المتاجر بتنفيذ أي نظام لإدارة سلامة الغذاء. لم تقم الأغلبية العظمى من المتاجر (٨٩٪) والمطاعم (٣٩٪) بإجراء تقييم للإجراءات المتعلقة بكوفيد-19 في حين قام ٥٣٪ من الفنادق بإجراء التقييم. قام جميع مقدمي الطعام بتقييم الزوار/الموردين بشأن أعراض كوفيد-19 واحتفظ ٤٤٪ منهم بالتفاصيل في سجل، يليهم ٣٦٪ و٧٦٪ على التوالي من المتاجر والمطاعم. على صعيد آخر، تم تنفيذ تدابير التباعد الأمن، والإشارات، والحواجز، ونظام الاتجاه الواحد من قبل مختلف منشآت الطعام بنسب متفاوتة. أدت جائحة كوفيد-19 إلى إحداث تغييرات عديدة في ممارسات النظافة المتعلقة بالطعام، مثل تعقيم الأيدي، وارتداء القمارات، وارتداء الكمامات. في الختام، كان مستوى الالتزام العام لمنشآت الطعام موصى مراقبة الصحة والأعراض، والتباعد الجسدي، وارتداء الكمامات مرتفعًا نسبيًا. أسفرت الفترة عن إدخال ممارسات صحية كانت دائمًا موصى بها في قطاع خدمات الطعام

الكلمات الرئيسية: سلامة الغذاء، كوفيد-١٩، النظافة، ممارسات التصنيع الجيدة (GMP)، الجائحة، متعاملو الغذاء، الصحة المهنية

^{*}Corresponding author (E-mail: mohamedk@squ,edu.om)



¹Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Agricultural and Marine Sciences, Sultan Qaboos University. P. O. Box 34, Al-Khoudh 123, Muscat, Oman

²Directorate General of Health Affairs, Muscat Municipality, Oman

Introduction

p to August 9, 2023, COVID-19 resulted in more than 760 million cases and 6.9 million deaths around the world (WHO, 2023). It has been recognized that the symptomatic or asymptomatic food handlers can potentially become significant sources of virus transmission (Thippareddi et al., 2020). To mitigate the risk of COVID-19 transmission, numerous precautionary measures have been recommended. These encompass adherence to Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) and the implementation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) protocols (Olaimat et al., 2020). Additionally, conducting COVID-19 risk assessments is crucial, as indicated by BSI (2020) and BRCGS (2020). Proper hand hygiene, including thorough hand washing, is emphasized (CDC, 2020a; FAO and WHO, 2020), and there is an emphasis on enhancing access to hand washing facilities (FAO and WHO, 2020). The use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers containing 75% iso-propanol or 80% ethanol (v/v) is recommended. Furthermore, wearing masks and maintaining physical distancing are essential preventive measures (CDC, 2020b; FAO and WHO, 20 20). As part of strategies aimed at preventing and controlling the spread of airborne viruses like the COVID-19 virus, it is essential to implement a robust system of food safety management, such as HACCP, which includes screening and personal hygiene recommendations aligned with CDC and WHO precautionary measures (Zimmerman et al., 2021). Notably, some of these recommended practices were not consistently employed in the food industry sector before the advent of the COVID-19 era, as highlighted by Al-Ghazali et al. (2020). According to de Freitas and Stedefeldt (2020), it is crucial to sustain the new practices adopted during the COVID-19 pandemic. This includes the continued implementation of all safety measures established during the pandemic, along with ongoing adherence to social distancing and rigorous hygiene practices.

In Oman, the initial reports of COVID-19 cases emerged in February 2020, prompting a se-

ries of concerted efforts to mitigate the adverse effects of the pandemic. On March 10, 2020, The Sultan Haitham bin Tariq, the Sultan of the Sultanate of Oman, issued directives to establish a committee titled "The Supreme Committee tasked with studying the scopes for a mechanism to address developments resulting from the spread of the coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic" (Shaddad Al Musalmy, 2021). The committee, chaired by the Minister of Interior and comprising representatives from various governmental units, including the Ministry of Health and the Oman Royal Police (ROP). On March 23, 2020, all retail shops in commercial centers (with the exception of essential businesses) were closed, and food service in restaurants and cafes was limited to take-away orders (Decree.com, 2020a). Subsequently, on May 18, 2020, the ROP was granted the authority to monitor and enforce compliance, with the ability to levy financial penalties and detain individuals for any violations (Decree.com, 2020b). Furthermore, a mandatory face-mask for all public spaces, including workplaces and public transportation, was introduced through Decision 151/2020, dated May 21, 2020 (Official Gazette, 2020a). In June 2020, the Ministry of Labor, formerly known as the Ministry of Manpower at that time, issued Decision 167/2020 (Official Gazette, 2020b). This decision included an increase in fines for violations of the directives established by the supreme committee. These fines were consistent at 100 Omani Rial (approximately \$260) each and applied to individuals found not wearing masks, failing to provide sanitizers with a minimum alcohol content of 60%, and lacking proper signage and information pertaining to social distancing and COVID-19 symptoms. These measures exemplify Oman's unwavering commitment to combatting COVID-19 and safeguarding the wellbeing of its residents.

Furthermore, research indicates that chain restaurants tend to exhibit fewer violations compared to independent restaurants. This trend can be attributed to the presence of quality control programs and internal food safety monitoring systems in chain establishments (Murphy et al., 2011; Harris et al., 2014). Independent res-

taurants, on the other hand, are more frequently cited for critical food safety violations across various districts, which aligns with previous findings.

As such, the objectives of study were to assess the changes in food handling practices at food service establishments in Muscat Governorate resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, and to evaluate the extent to which these establishments adhere to precautionary measures to control the spread of COVID-19.

Materials and Methods

Target Population and Sample

This study focused on three specific categories within the food service industry: restaurants, food retailers, and hotel catering services. An up-to-date listing of establishments falling into these three categories, situated within Muscat governorate, Sultanate of Oman, was procured through direct communication with Muscat Municipality. Within each of the specified categories, this study included 10% of the available facilities, following specific criteria:

- Restaurants: This category encompassed tourist and international restaurants offering onsite dining. Selected restaurants had to demonstrate a reduced seating capacity to ensure a minimum 2-meter spacing between each table.
- Retailers: Included all retail establishments equipped with food production and/or preparation facilities. This category covered butcheries, bakeries, pastry shops, and any retailing activities with a floor area exceeding 100 square meters where food handlers were engaged in food preparation.
- Hotel Catering: Encompassed all 3- to 5-star hotels equipped with internal meal preparation facilities.

These criteria were rigorously applied to guarantee the selection of a representative sample of facilities from each category for the study. The included samples within each group were chosen through convenient sampling. Convenient sampling was selected due to practical considerations (i.e., accessibility and time constraints). The participants were chosen based on their availability and willingness to participate. Consequently, the study comprised 25 first-class restaurants, 45 food retailers, and 17 hotel catering establishments, all of which were selected to participate in the research.

Data Collection

Data collection was conducted using a structured questionnaire administered through face-to-face interviews with the facility manager or Person-in-charge (PIC). Additionally, on-site observations were employed as part of the data collection process. This data collection phase took place between October and December 2020. The questionnaire is provided as an appendix.

Questionnaire Design

A meticulously structured questionnaire was developed, drawing upon food safety guidelines provided by authoritative sources such as the FAO and WHO (2020) and the FDA (2020). The questionnaire comprised a total of 30 questions, meticulously designed to assess the adherence to safety precautionary measures and to juxtapose food safety and hygiene practices before and after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. The survey questions were categorized into six distinct sections as outlined below:

Section A: Obtained information related to the foodservice establishment such as the establishment type (i.e., First class restaurants, Hotel's catering and food retailers), number of customers per day.

Section B: Gathered information on the implementation of food safety management systems.

Section C: Evaluated food handlers' personal hygiene practices and training before and during covid-19.

Section D: Evaluated the adherence to cleaning and sanitization within the establishment.

Section E: Assessed the adherence to physical distancing methods and practices.

Section F: Assessed symptoms screening and monitoring practices

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 22.0. Chisquare test was used to assess the association of precautionary measures and establishment types. Friedman and McNemar tests were used for the data comparison before and after the pandemic. P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results and Discussion

Food Safety and COVID-19 Management

Table 1 presents the percentages of food establishments that have implemented a Food Safety Management System (FSMS) or conducted a COVID-19 risk assessment. Interestingly, none of the surveyed food retailers and restaurants had implemented an FSMS. Conversely, only 3 out of the 17 caterers (17.6%) had integrated a food safety system such as HACCP into their operations. A statistically significant relationship between the implementation of FSMS and the type of establishment was observed (X2(2) =

7.771, p = 0.007).

During personal communication with the participants, it became evident that some barriers hindered the adoption of FSMS. A notable barrier was the lack of knowledge and awareness about these systems. This finding aligns with previous research conducted in food businesses in Turkey, which identified a lack of understanding of HACCP by managers and employees as a primary obstacle to its implementation (Bas et al., 2007). Other barriers may include the cost associated with certification, staff shortages, and time constraints (Maldonado-Siman et al., 2014). It is important to emphasize that FSMS play a critical role in ensuring the production and service of safe food (Panghal et al., 2018).

Notably, a substantial majority of food retailers (89%) and restaurants (69%) did not perform a COVID-19 risk assessment. In contrast, a relatively higher proportion of hotels (53%) had undertaken such assessments. This data underscores the variability in risk assessment practices among different types of food establishments, with hotels showing a greater tendency to conduct COVID-19 risk assessments compared to food retailers and restaurants. A significant association observed between the COVID-19 risk assessment and the type of establishment (X2(2) = 12.264, p = 0.002).

Table 1. Implementation of FSMS and risk assessment in food establishments

	Respons	e (n) %			
Food safety indicators		Retailers	Restaurants	Hotels	<i>p</i> -value
EGMG	Yes	0	0	3 (17.6)	0.007
FSMS	No	45 (100)	24 (100)	14 (82.4)	0.007
COVID-19 risk assessment	Yes	5 (11.1)	8 (31.2)	9 (52.9)	0.002
	No	40 (88.9)	17 (68.8)	8 (47.1)	

FSMS: Food Safety Management System

Table 2 presents data on the frequency of CO-VID-19 Symptoms Assessment and the presence of COVID-19 awareness posters in food establishments. The majority of the establishments, including 76% of restaurants, 96% of retailers, and 100% of hotel caterers, reported consistently screening visitors and suppliers for COVID-19 symptoms. Furthermore, a significant proportion of restaurants (84%) and caterers (94%) maintained daily logs to document the results of these screenings, whereas only 58% of retailers adopted this practice. Overall, these findings indicate commendable practices across all types of establishments regarding the monitoring of COVID-19 symptoms. Importantly, there was no significant difference in monitoring practices observed based on the type of establishment (X2(8) = 9.610, p = 0.140). However, a significant association was identified between the type of establishment and the recording of health status data (X2(2) = 10.514, p = 0.005).

According to the persons-in-charge at these establishments, the absence of body temperature measurement was primarily attributed to a lack of designated personnel responsible for conducting temperature checks and a shortage of screening devices. Additionally, a lack of awareness regarding the importance of temperature screening and the necessity of maintaining records of employee screening status contributed to the absence of data on monitoring practices in some cases.

Observational data revealed that a substantial portion of the establishments had taken proactive measures to raise COVID-19 awareness, with 84% of retailers, 76% of restaurants, and 82% of hotels found to have COVID-19 awareness signs prominently displayed at entrances and workplace areas. Importantly, there was no significant difference in the practice of posting COVID-19 awareness signs among these food service businesses, as demonstrated in Table 2. It is noteworthy that these awareness posters featured multiple languages and dialects, including Arabic, English, Malayalam, Urdu, and Bangali. This inclusive practice serves to disseminate information effectively on CO-VID-19 to both local residents and the diverse foreign population, contributing to heightened awareness during this critical period.

Table 2. The frequency of COVID-19 Symptoms Assessment and the presence of COVID-19 awareness posters in Food Establishments

	D 1 4			
Retailers Restaurants		Catering	− <i>P</i> value*	
		-		
1 (2.2)	3 (12.0)	0		
0	1 (4.0)	0		
0	1 (4.0)	0	0.140	
1 (2.2)	1 (4.0)	0		
43 (95.6)	19 (76.0)	17 (100)		
38 (84.5)	19 (76.0)	14 (82.4)		
7 (15.5)	6 (24.0)	3 (17.6)	0.666	
	1 (2.2) 0 0 1 (2.2) 43 (95.6) 38 (84.5)	Retailers 1 (2.2) 0 1 (4.0) 0 1 (4.0) 1 (2.2) 1 (2.2) 1 (4.0) 43 (95.6) 19 (76.0) 38 (84.5) 19 (76.0)	1 (2.2) 3 (12.0) 0 0 1 (4.0) 0 0 1 (4.0) 0 1 (2.2) 1 (4.0) 0 43 (95.6) 19 (76.0) 17 (100)	

^{*} The p value shows the significance between establishments

Hand Hygiene and Sanitizers

Hands play a critical role in the transmission of pathogens, and hand washing is a highly effective preventive measure to mitigate the spread of coronaviruses. Proper hand washing techniques recommended by organizations like the CDC (2020a) and FAO and WHO (2020) are essential. Furthermore, improving access to hand washing facilities and encouraging their use in the workplace is of utmost importance (FAO and WHO, 2020). Table 3 presents data on the availability of hand washing basins and sanitizers. The presence of hand washing stations equipped with hot water, soap, and means of drying was observed in 47% of the retailer premises. Approximately 53% of these establishments were not adequately equipped with hot water and soap before the onset of COVID-19. This may be attributed to the nature of the facilities, with some lacking butchery activities or similar food preparation tasks. In contrast, a substantial proportion of restaurants (92%) and caterers (88%) were already equipped with proper hand washing stations prior to the pandemic. When comparing the availability of well-equipped hand washing stations before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, no statistically significant differences were found among retailers, restaurants, and hotel catering establishments (p > 0.05). Given that food handlers are directly involved in various activities that require contact with different surfaces, both food contact and non-contact, the lack of proper hand washing facilities can pose a significant barrier to adhering to appropriate hand hygiene practices (Shahbaz et al., 2020; Soon et al., 2021).

Hand sanitizers with at least 70% alcohol have been recommended as a viable alternative to hand washing (FAO and WHO, 2020). Table 3 also provides insights into the availability of hand sanitizers in various types of establishments both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic and the concentration of alcohols in these sanitizers. Interestingly, there was no significant difference observed in the availability of hand sanitizers (irrespective of alcohol concentration) in restaurants and hotels before and during the

pandemic. However, a noteworthy finding is the significant increase (p = 0.001) in the availability of hand sanitizers in retailers during this period. Additionally, there were significant increases in the availability of sanitizers with active ingredients (ethanol or isopropanol) in concentrations ranging from 70 to 80% in retailers (p < 0.001), restaurants (p = 0.004), and caterers (p = 0.004) when comparing the pre-COVID-19 and during-COVID-19 periods.

It is important to consider that, as suggested by de Freitas & Stedefeldt (2020), sanitary requirements may not have been consistently enforced in restaurants before the COVID-19 era or may have been interpreted differently in practice. The observed improvements in the availability of hand sanitizers during the pandemic reflect an effort to enhance hygiene practices and align with recommended guidelines.

The U.S. FDA Food Code offers clear guidelines on the prevention of food contamination by workers, emphasizing the importance of avoiding bare-hand contact when handling both Ready-to-Eat (RTE) and non-Ready-to-Eat (non-RTE) food items. This recommendation is rooted in the understanding that hand washing practices alone may not always provide sufficient protection against the transmission of pathogens. To provide an effective barrier, the FDA Food Code suggests the use of hand gloves as one example of a preventive measure (FDA, 2005). These measures are essential to uphold the highest standards of food safety and minimize the risk of contamination in food handling and preparation processes.

Use of Gloves and Face Masks

Contamination has been identified as a primary factor contributing to foodborne illness outbreaks (Raspor, 2008), and using bare hands in food preparation can promote cross-contamination. Table 4 shows the changes in the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) specifically gloves and face masks and the availability of training on using PPE in general before and after the pandemic. A significant difference was observed in the use of gloves by retailers before (80%) and

Table 3. The responses of hand hygiene practices in food retailers, restaurants, and hotels caterings before and during the pandemic

	Establish-	Before CO	VID-19	During CO	During COVID-19		
Practice	ment type	Yes (n) %	No %	Yes %	NO %	<i>p</i> -value	
Availability	Retailers	21 (46.7)	24 (53.3)	21 (46.7)	24 (53.3)	1.000	
of hand was-	Restaurants	23 (92.0)	2 (8.0)	24 (96.0	1 (4.0)	1.000	
hing basins	Hotels	15 (88.2)	2 (11.8)	15 (88.2)	2 (11.8)	1.000	
	Retailers	28 (62.2)	17 (37.8)	40 (89.1)	4 (8.9)	0.001	
Availability of sanitizer	Restaurants	23 (92.0)	2 (8.0)	25 (100.0)	0.0	0.500	
dispensers	Hotels	17 (100.0)	0	(14) 100.0	0.0	1.000	
Sanitizers meeting	Retailers	1) (24.4)	34 (75.6)	39 (86.7)	6 (13.3)	< 0.001	
requirement of active	Restaurants	15 (60.0)	10 (40.0)	24 (96.0)	1 (4.0)	0.004	
ingredients concentra- tion	Hotels	8 (47.1)	9 (52.9)	(100.0)	0.0	0.004	

after (97%) the pandemic (p = 0.02). In contrast, there were no significant differences in the use of gloves before and after the pandemic in either restaurants or hotel catering establishments. Notably, 100% of the surveyed restaurants and cateries were already employing gloves before the pandemic, indicating a commendable level of compliance with recommended practices even prior to the COVID-19 era.

Research by Yap et al. (2019) has demonstrated higher plate counts in food prepared by bare hands compared to food handled with gloves. Similarly, elevated plate counts have been observed on the hands of food handlers. The study also highlights that changing gloves, in combination with hand washing, can be an effective strategy to minimize cross-contamination. Therefore, while the use of gloves is important, it must be accompanied by strict adherence

to proper hand hygiene practices to maintain food safety.

Research investigations have demonstrated that the use of face mask can significantly halt the transmission of viruses (Seto et al., 2003; Leung et al., 2020; Prather et al., 2020). In response to the emergence of the new coronavirus, face masks have gained widespread popularity worldwide as a preventive measure. In response to the emergence of the new coronavirus, face masks have gained widespread popularity worldwide as a preventive measure. The exponential surge in COVID-19 cases has necessitated the widespread adoption of face masks by many individuals. Due to the exponential increase in COVID-19 cases, many individuals were compelled to wear face masks. Before the CO-VID-19 pandemic, the majority of food handlers in retailers (89%), restaurants (72%), and hotels (65%) were not using face masks. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a significant shift in behavior, with nearly all retailers (98%, p < 0.001), restaurants (100%, p < 0.001), and hotels (100%, p < 0.001) adopting the use of face masks.

This high level of adherence to mask-wearing and the use of gloves can be attributed to several factors. Effective awareness campaigns by global organizations and local authorities, along with strict instructions provided to establishments by Muscat Municipality and the Supreme Committee, played a crucial role in promoting these practices. Similar trends were observed in other regions as well. In Brazil, for example, mask-wearing was the most widely adopted preventive measure by the majority of surveyed individuals (94.1%) (Finger et al., 2021). However, it is important to note that, as per the guidance provided by the World Health

Organization (WHO, 2020b), while masks are a part of the preventive measures, relying solely on masks is not sufficient to provide comprehensive protection against the novel coronavirus. Proper mask usage should be complemented by other preventive measures such as physical distancing, hand hygiene, and vaccination to reduce effectively the risk of transmission.

However, it is essential to underscore that the effectiveness of glove usage hinges on proper techniques and precautions. If gloves are not worn and handled correctly, they may inadvertently increase the risk of virus transmission, especially if workers fail to avoid touching their faces and mobile devices (Yadav et al., 2020). Additionally, the use of gloves should not replace the crucial practice of hand washing, as over-reliance on gloves can lead to neglect of hand hygiene measures (Lynch et al., 2005).

Table 4. The use of gloves and face masks in food service establishments before and during CO-VID-19 pandemic

Safety	Establish- ment type	Before COV	Before COVID-19		During COVID-19		
measure/ practice		Yes	NO	Yes	NO		
1		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
	Retailers	36 (80.0)	9 (20.0)	44 (97.2)	1 (2.2)	0.021	
Wearing gloves	Restau- rants	25 (100)	0	25 (100)	0	1.000	
	Hotels	17 (100)	0	17 (100)	0	1.000	
	Retailers	5 (11.1)	40 (88.9)	44 (97.2)	1 (2.2)	< 0.001	
Wearing face	Restau- rants	7) (28.0)	18 (72.0)	25 (100)	0	< 0.001	
mask	Hotels	6 (35.3)	11 (64.7)	17 (100)	0	< 0.001	

^{*} The *p* values show the statistical difference within each type of establishment before and during COVID-19

Physical Distancing at Workplaces

The effective implementation of physical distancing measures, encompassing spacing protocols, signage, physical barriers, and one-way traffic systems, played a pivotal role in containing the transmission of COVID-19. Table 5 shows the response of food service establishments to recommended physical distancing measures. In regards to spacing measures and signage, a significant proportion of retailers (89%), restaurants (84%), and hotels (88%) utilized strategies such as floor markers and stickers. Notably, our analysis revealed no statistically significant variance in the adoption of spacing measures across these three establishment types (X2(2) = 0.517, p =0.909). This underscores the uniform commitment of all food establishments to implementing spacing measures in alignment with public health guidelines. Moreover, with respect to maintaining a two-meter distance between food handlers, the majority of surveyed retailers (93.3%) adhered to this practice, as did all restaurants and hotels.

This commitment remained consistent across all establishment categories, with no statistically significant disparities (X2(2) = 1.758, p =0.427). This adherence to the recommended two-meter social distancing guideline is notable in light of research supporting the efficacy of physical distancing in reducing viral transmission. Distances of at least one meter were found to reduce transmission risk by 2.6% compared to distances of less than one meter (Chu et al., 2020).

In the context of physical barriers, particularly concerning cashier counters, 69% of retailers employed such measures. In contrast, only 40% of restaurants and 47% of hotels implemented similar physical barriers. A statistically significant discrepancy emerged between the type of establishment and the use of physical barriers (X2(2) = 6.179, p =0.049). This suggests that retailers were more inclined to incorporate physical barriers, possibly influenced by the nature of their customer interactions at cashier counters.

Table 5. The responses of physical distancing in the workplace in food retailers, restaurants and hotels catering

	Retailers	Restaurants	Hotels caterer	p-value
	40 (88.9)	21 (84.0)	15 (88.2)	
	5 (11.1)	4 (16.0)	2 (11.8)	0.909
n (%) Yes	31 (68.9)	10 (40.0)	8 (47.1)	
n (%) No		` /	, ,	0.049
n (%) Yes	, ,	` ,	, ,	
n (%) No	42(93.3)	25 (100.0)	(100.0)	0.427
	3 (6.6)	0	0	
	26 (57.8)	4 (16.0)	1 (5.9)	0.001
	19 (42.2)	21 (84.0)	16 (94.1)	0.001
		Yes 40 (88.9) n (%) No 5 (11.1) n (%) Yes 31 (68.9) n (%) No 14(31.1) n (%) Yes 42(93.3) n (%) No 3 (6.6) n (%) Yes 26 (57.8) n (%) No 19 (42.2)	Yes 40 (88.9) 21 (84.0) n (%) No 5 (11.1) 4 (16.0) n (%) Yes 31 (68.9) 10 (40.0) n (%) No 14(31.1) 15 (60.0) n (%) Yes 42(93.3) 25 (100.0) n (%) No 3 (6.6) 0 n (%) Yes 26 (57.8) 4 (16.0) n (%) No 19 (42.2) 21 (84.0)	Retailers Restaurants Yes 40 (88.9) 21 (84.0) 15 (88.2) n (%) No 5 (11.1) 4 (16.0) 2 (11.8) n (%) Yes 31 (68.9) 10 (40.0) 8 (47.1) n (%) No 14 (31.1) 15 (60.0) 9 (52.0) n (%) Yes 42 (93.3) 25 (100.0) 17 (100.0) n (%) Yes 26 (57.8) 4 (16.0) 1 (5.9) n (%) No 19 (42.2) 21 (84.0) 16 (94.1)

According to on-site observations of food establishments, it was found that 58% of retailers had implemented a one-way system for entry and exit to facilitate physical distancing. In contrast, a higher percentage of restaurants (84%) and hotel catering services (94%) did not follow a one-way system. Significantly, there was a difference observed between the type of establishment and the implementation of a one-way system (X2(2) = 20.383, p =0.001). This suggests that retailers, with their typically multiple entrance points, were more able to implement a one-way system compared to restaurants and hotels.

In summary, the data underscores a concerted effort across all categories of food establishments to implement physical distancing measures, thereby safeguarding the well-being of both customers and employees during the ongoing pandemic. The study by Shumsky et al. (2021) underscores the effectiveness of implementing a one-way movement system in markets to significantly reduce the transmission of the virus by one-third. This intervention strategically limits face-to-face customer interactions, thereby it is expected to lower the spread of CO-VID-19.

Cleaning and Sanitization of Surfaces

Surface contamination stands as a substantial avenue for coronavirus transmission, with the virus demonstrating remarkable persistence on various materials, including stainless steel, plastic, copper, and cardboard, for up to 72 hours (van Doremalen et al., 2020). Given this prolonged viability, the imperative for systematic surface cleaning and disinfection in food establishments cannot be overstated (Castaño et al., 2020). Table 6 shows data on the frequency of cleaning and disinfecting of non-food-contact and food-contact surfaces.

Non-Food-Contact surfaces: In Food Retailers, in the pre-COVID-19 period, 38% of food retailers reported no engagement in the cleaning and disinfection of food contact surfaces between uses, while only 27% affirmed consistent performance of these sanitization

procedures. Remarkably, the study findings do not indicate a statistically significant alteration in these percentages amid the COVID-19 pandemic. Currently, 31% claim "always," while 36% continue to adhere to "never" (p = 0.083). Onsite observations additionally unveiled instances of chopping or cutting boards and knives undergoing neither cleaning nor sanitization between uses. In stark contrast to food retailers, nearly all restaurants (96%) consistently reported "always" undertaking cleaning and disinfection of food contact surfaces between uses, both prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The data exhibited no statistically significant variation (p > 0.05) between reports pre- and during the pandemic, underscoring unwavering adherence to these hygienic practices. Analogous to restaurants, 88% of hotels indicated that they "always" performed cleaning and disinfection of food contact surfaces between uses before the advent of COVID-19. This adherence remained unchanged during the pandemic, with no statistically significant difference in the reported data (p > 0.05).

Overall, the data suggests that food retailers faced challenges in maintaining consistent cleaning and disinfection practices for food contact surfaces between uses, both before and during the pandemic. In contrast, restaurants and hotels displayed a higher level of consistency in adhering to these critical practices. Proper cleaning and disinfection of food contact surfaces are essential for preventing surface contamination and reducing the risk of virus transmission in food establishments.

The recommendations by Shahbaz et al. (2020) emphasizing the frequent cleaning and sanitization of food-contact surfaces, ideally every two hours during COVID-19, are essential to maintain food safety standards. Additionally, the practice of holding sanitized utensils, such as cutting boards and knives, in sanitized containers or boxes after washing and sanitization, as suggested by Sapers (2001), contributes to preventing potential contamination.

Food-Contact Surfaces: In the realm of

Table 6. Responses to cleaning and disinfecting of food contact and noncontact surfaces in food establishments

		Response n (%)				
Practice	Establish-		Before	After		
2.1001200	ment type		CO- VID-19	COVID-19	p-value	
		Never	17 (37.8)	16 (35.6)		
		Rarely	6 (13.3)	5 (11.1)		
	Retailers	Often	3 (6.7)	4 (8.9)	0.083	
		Sometimes	7 (15.5)	6 (13.3)		
		Always	12 (26.7)	14 (31.1)		
Cleaning and disinfecting food-contact surfaces		Never	1 (4.0)	(4.0)		
		Rarely	0.0	0.0		
	Restaurants	Often	0.0	0.0	NC	
		Sometimes	0.0	0.0		
		Always	24 (96.0)	(96.0)		
		Never	0.0	0		
		Rarely	0.0	0.0		
	Hotels	Often	0.0	0.0	NC	
		Sometimes	2 (11.8)	2 (11.8)		
		Always	15 (88.2)	15 (88.2)		
		Never	11 (24.4	1 (2.2)		
		Rarely	3 (6.7	1 (2.2)		
	Retailers	Often	8 (17.8	4 (8.9)	< 0.001	
		Sometimes	9 (20.0	8 (17.8)		
		Always	14 (31.1	31 (68.9)		
		Never	2(8)	0		
Cleaning and		Rarely	0	0.0		
disinfecting food-noncon-	Restaurants	Often	1 (4)	0.0	.014	
tact surfaces		Sometimes	4 (16)	1 (4.0)		
54114005		Always	18 (72)	24 (96.0)		
		Never	1 (5.9)	0.0		
		Rarely	0.0	0.0		
	Hotels	Often	2 (11.8)	0.0	.025	
		Sometimes	3 (17.6)	1 (5.9)		
		Always	11 (64.7)	16 (94.1)		

Note. Statistical significant difference determined at p-value < 0.05 using Friedman Test

NC: Not Computed

cleaning and sanitizing non-food contact surfaces, which encompasses items such as chillers, microwave handles, doors, and light switches, this study provides substantial insights. Preceding the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, a mere 31% of establishments demonstrated unwavering adherence, denoted as "always," to the cleaning and sanitization of non-food contact surfaces. In stark contrast, 24% of establishments exhibited a complete absence of such hygiene practices, marked as "never." A notable improvement in these practices was discerned during the COVID-19 pandemic, (p < 0.001). Presently, approximately 69% of retailers assert consistent adherence to cleaning and sanitizing non-food contact surfaces, with only 2% reporting non-compliance. Comparable significant shifts were also evident in restaurants (p = 0.014) and hotels (p = 0.025). In restaurants, the proportion of establishments consistently cleaning and disinfecting non-food contact surfaces escalated from 72% to 96%, while in hotels, it surged from 65% to a complete 100%.

These findings underscore the profound impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the adherence to cleaning and sanitization practices for non-food contact surfaces within a variety of food establishments. This heightened attention to the hygiene of non-food contact surfaces represents a positive development for overall food safety and public health, as these surfaces can also serve as potential vectors for the transmission of pathogens.

Conclusion

A Food Management System (FMS) was adopted by a low percentage of hotels, while no restaurants or retailers implemented any FSMS. Among the hotels, 53% conducted COVID-19 assessments, but the majority of retailers and restaurants did not. Fever emerged as the most widely recognized symptom of COVID-19, followed by dry cough, headache, and sore throat. Significant association (evaluated by Pearson's chi-square test) was found between establishments and FSMS, COVID-19 risk assessment. The study revealed that symptom posters were

displayed in 84% of retailers, 76% of restaurants, and 82% of caterers. Various measures, including directional stickers, physical barriers, and one-way systems, were implemented by different food establishments to ensure compliance with safety distance requirements. Significant changes were brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in terms of the availability of sanitizers, the use of gloves, the wearing of masks, and the cleaning and disinfection of non-food contact surfaces. Overall, there was commendable adherence to health and symptom monitoring, physical distancing, and face mask usage. It can be concluded that the pandemic induced positive changes in certain practices that have the potential to enhance the overall hygiene standards of these establishments. The limitations are: (i) The scope of the research was constrained by the inclusion of a limited number of food establishments. This was due to the CO-VID-19 pandemic and its attached restrictions. Not all persons-in-charge were available – at that time – to participate in the study. (ii) This study focused to Muscat Governorate, thereby limiting the generalizability of the findings to a broader context. (iii) Convenient sampling is based on accessibility and willingness to participate which may impact the generalizability of the findings.

Acknowledgment

Authors would like to thank Sultan Qaboos University and Muscat Municipality for supporting this research project.

References

Al-Ghazali M, Al-Bulushi I, Al-Subhi L, Rahman MS, Al-Rawahi A. (2020). Food Safety Knowledge and Hygienic Practices among Different Groups of Restaurants in Muscat, Oman. International Journal of Food Science 2020: 1-8 (Article ID 8872981).

Alahdal H, Basingab F, Alotaibi R. (2020). An analytical study on the awareness, attitude and practice during the COVID-19 pandemic in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Journal of Infection and Public

- Health 13(10): 1446-1452.
- Bas M, Yüksel M, Çavuşoğlu T. (2007). Difficulties and barriers for the implementing of HACCP and food safety systems in food businesses in Turkey. Food Control 18(2): 124-130.
- BRCGS. (2020). BRCGS guidance document: Managing food safety during COVID-19. Brand Reputation Compliance Global Standards. https://www.brcgs.com/media (Accessed 15 September 2021).
- BSI. (2020). Safe working during the covid-19 pandemic general guidelines for organizations, version 1. The British Standards Institution. (Accessed 15 September 2021).
- Castaño N, Cordts S, Jalil MK, Zhang K, Koppaka S, Bick A, Paul R, Tang SK. (2020). Fomite transmission and disinfection strategies for SARS-CoV-2 and related viruses. ACS Omega 6: 6509–6527.
- CDC. (2020a). Show me the science How to wash your hands. Centers for disease control and prevention. (Accessed 25 December 2020).
- CDC. (2020b). Social distancing. Centers for disease control and prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus (Accessed on 23 December 2020).
- Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ, El-harakeh A, Bognanni A, Lotfi T, Loeb M, Hajizadeh A. (2020). Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet 395: 1973-1987.
- de Faria Coelho-Ravagnani C, Corgosinho FC, Sanches FLFZ, Prado CMM, Laviano A, Mota JF. (2021). Dietary recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nutrition Reviews 79(4): 382-393.
- Decree.com (2020a). Ccovid-19 supreme committee decision of 17 march 2020. https://decree.om (Accessed on 31 October 2023).
- Decree.com (2020b). Ccovid-19 supreme committee decision of 18 May 2020. https://decree.om/category (Accessed on 31 October 2023).
- FAO and WHO (2020). FAO, WHO. COVID-19 and food safety: Guidance for food businesses Interim guidance, Rome (2020).
- FDA. (2005). Food Code 2005. Food and Drug Administration. https://www.fda.gov (Accessed on

- 05 April 2021).
- FDA. (2020). Food Safety and the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Food and Drug Administration. (2020). (Accessed 02 October 2020).
- Finger JAFF, Lima EM, Coelho KS, Behrens JH, Landgraf M, Franco BD, Pinto UM. (2021). Adherence to food hygiene and personal protection recommendations for prevention of COVID-19. Trends in Food Science & Technology 112: 847-852.
- Harris KJ, DiPietro RB, Murphy KS, Rivera G. (2014). Critical food safety violations in Florida: Relationship to location and chain vs. non-chain restaurants. International Journal of Hospitality Management 38: 57-64.
- Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, Chan KH, McDevitt JJ, Hau BJ, Yen HL, Li Y, Ip DK, Peiris JM, Seto WH. (2020). Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nature Medicine 26(5): 676-680.
- Lynch RA, Phillips ML, Elledge BL, Hanumanthaiah S, Boatright DT. (2005). A preliminary evaluation of the effect of glove use by food handlers in fast food restaurants. Journal of Food Protection 68(1): 187-190.
- Maldonado-Siman E, Bai L, Ramírez-Valverde R, Gong S, Rodríguez-de Lara R. (2014). Comparison of implementing HACCP systems of exporter Mexican and Chinese meat enterprises. Food Control 38: 109-115.
- Ministry of Justice and legal Affairs. (2020). Royal Oman Police: Ministerial Decision 151/2020 On the Controls for Managing the Offenders of the Decisions of the Supreme Committee for Managing the Developments of the Spread of the Coronavirus (COVID-19). https://mjla.gov.om (Accessed 04 April 2021).
- Murphy KS, DiPietro RB, Kock G, Lee JS. (2011). Does mandatory food safety training and certification for restaurant employees improve inspection outcomes?. International Journal of Hospitality Management 30(1): 150-156.
- Official Gazette. (2020a). Ministry of Justice and Law. Official Gazett. Appendix to Gazette number 1342, https://mjla.gov.om (Accessed on 1 November 2023).
- Official Gazette. (2020b). Ministry of Justice and

- Law. Official Gazett, Number 1346. https://mola. gov.om (Accessed on 1 November 2023).
- Olaimat AN, Shahbaz HM, Fatima N, Munir S, Holley RA. (2020). Food safety during and after the era of Covid-19 pandemic. Frontiers in Microbiology 11: 1-6 (Article 1854).
- Panghal, A., Chhikara, N., Sindhu, N., & Jaglan, S. (2018). Role of Food Safety Management Systems in safe food production: A review. Journal of Food Safety 38(4): Article e12464.
- Prather KA, Wang CC, Schooley RT. (2020). Reducing transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Science 368(6498): 1422-1424.
- Raspor P. (2008). Total food chain safety: how good practices can contribute? Trends in Food Science & Technology 19(8): 405-412.
- Rizou M, Galanakis IM, Aldawoud TM, Galanakis CM. (2020). Safety of foods, food supply chain and environment within the COVID-19 pandemic. Trends in Food Science & Technology 102: 293-299.
- Sapers GM. (2001). Efficacy of washing and sanitizing methods for disinfection of fresh fruit and vegetable products. Food Technology and Biotechnology 39(4): 305-311.
- Seto WH, Tsang D, Yung RWH, Ching TY, Ng TK, Ho M, Advisors of Expert SARS group of Hospital Authority. (2003). Effectiveness of precautions against droplets and contact in prevention of nosocomial transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). The Lancet 361: 1519-1520.
- Shaddad AM (2021). Muscat Daily Newspaper (Online), 17 March 2021) https://www.muscatdaily. com (Accessed on 28 October 2023).
- Shahbaz M, Bilal M, Akhlaq M, Moiz A, Zubair S, Iqbal HM. (2020). Strategic measures for food processing and manufacturing facilities to combat Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology 14(2): 1-8.
- Shumsky RA, Debo L, Lebeaux RM, Nguyen QP, Hoen AG. (2021). Retail store customer flow and COVID-19 transmission. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118(11): 1-10 (Article 2019225118).
- Singh AK, Agrawal B, Sharma A, Sharma P. (2020). COVID-19: Assessment of knowledge and aware-

- ness in Indian society. Journal of Public Affairs 20(4): 1-9 (Article e2354).
- Soon JM, Vanany I, Wahab IRA, Hamdan RH, Jamaludin MH. (2021). Food safety and evaluation of intention to practice safe eating out measures during COVID-19: Cross sectional study in Indonesia and Malaysia. Food Control 125: 1-8 (Article 107920).
- Thippareddi H, Balamurugan S, Patel J, Singh M, Brassard J. (2020). Coronaviruses-Potential human threat from foodborne transmission? LWT-Food Science and Technology 134: 1-8 (Article 110147).
- Van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Munster VJ. (2013). Stability of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) under different environmental conditions. Eurosurveillance 18(38): 1-4 (Article 20590).
- Van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, Tamin A, Harcourt JL, Thornburg NJ, Gerber SI, Lloyd-Smith JO. (2020). Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. New England Journal of Medicine 382(16): 1564-1567.
- WHO. (2020). WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19, 11 March 2020. World Health Organization (Accessed 15 September 2021).
- WHO. (2023). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pandemic – numbers at a glance. World Health Organization (Accessed 03 December 2023).
- Yap M, Chau ML, Hartantyo SHP, Oh JQ, Aung KT, Gutierrez RA, Ng LC. (2019). Microbial quality and safety of sushi prepared with gloved or bare hands: Food handlers' impact on retail food hygiene and safety. Journal of Food Protection 82(4): 615-622.
- Zimmerman T, Siddiqui SA, Bischoff W, Ibrahim SA. (2021). Tackling airborne virus threats in the food industry: A proactive approach. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 18(8): 1-10 (Article 4335).

Assessing Food Safety Practices in the Era of COVID-19: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of Restaurant, Retail, and Hotel Sectors in Muscat Governorate, Oman
114 SQU Journal of Agricultural and Marine Sciences, 2024, Volume 29, Issue 2

Appendix

COVID-19 Food Safety Precautionary Measures Questionnaire Please complete the following questionnaire with specific regard to the above enquiry, by placing a CROSS in the appropriate box Premise type Before COVID-19 Activity Number of meals per day First class restaurant After COVID-19 ☐ Food retailer The premises area allocated ≥ 100 m2 to practice the retailing ō < 100 m2 activities Before COVID-19 Number of customers who can enter the premise After COVID-19 Food Safety system The premise is well aware about the Supreme Committee instructions related to food handling during covid-19 The premise is certified with at least one of food safety or quality management systems? Specify Risk assessment has been done to the premise to identify, assess and manage the risks of COVID-19 c. Food handlers personal hygiene Food handlers are aware of personal hygiene requirements at work. Food handlers are aware about legal action of violation of personal hygiene requirements at work. Before COVID-19 Hand washing basins (with hot and cold water, soap and a means of drying hands) are available in the entrance of food preparing/production area After COVID-19 Before COVID-19 Liquid antimicrobial sanitizer dispensers are provided for food handlers After COVID-19

9	It is priority when purchasing hand sanitizer to contain ethanol or isopropanol in concentrations of 70-80% as active	Before COVID-19					
	ingredients	After COVID-19					
10	Gloves are worn while dealing with raw and	Before COVID-19					
10	finished goods	After COVID-19					
11	Face mask is worn in work place by all workers	Before COVID-19					
	Workers	After COVID-19					
12	Food hygiene and safety signs and posters are displayed in the food preparing/production	Before COVID-19					
	area	After COVID-19					
13	All employees are trained in putting PPE on and taking PPE off, including washing or	Before COVID-19					
	sanitizing hands	After COVID-19					
14	Food handlers were trained in food handling during covid-19.						
D.	Cleaning and Disinfecting		Never	Rarely	often	Sometimes	Always
15	All raw vegetables and fruits are sanitized before serving	Before COVID-19					
		After COVID-19					
	Food contact surfaces like utensils and	Before COVID-19					
16	cutting boards are cleaned and disinfected						
	between use	After COVID-19					

17	Non-food contact surfaces like door and kitchen cabinet handles are cleaned and	Before COVID-19				
	handles are cleaned and sanitized between use	After COVID-19				
18	Disposable tableware/Cutleries are used in	Before COVID-19				
20	facility	After COVID-19				
	Dishwashing machine is used to clean and	Before COVID-19				
19	anitize the dishware and cutleries After COVID-3					
20	The work place is cleaned and disinfected if someone suspected or confirmed to have COVID-19					
21	cleaning staff is provided with appropriate PPE including disposable gloves and safety eyewear.					
E.	Social/physical distances		Yes	No		
22	he premise use the spacing measures (floor markers, ickers)					
23	The work place is maintained to keep two me between each food handlers	eters distance				
24	The premise maintained the dividers/barriers employees at each workstation	between the				
25	Separate cabin or room is available in the premis if anybody is COVID-19 suspected	se for isolation				
26	One-way system is implemented in premise at entry and exit points					
F.	Health and symptoms monitoring and screening		Yes	No		
27	Signs and/or posters about the symptoms of COVID-19 are displayed in the workplace					
28	Employee are instructed to report any COVID-19 symptoms to line manager/supervisors					
	Visitors including suppliers are assessed for COVID-19 symptoms before entering the premise.					

Assessing Food Safety Practices in the Era of COVID-19: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of Restaurant, Retail, and Hotel Sectors in Muscat Governorate, Oman

29	Daily update on health status of employees and suppliers is maintained in record book.		
30	Workers are allowed to stay home if they have the following symptoms of COVID-19 (you can select more than one):	Headache Fever Dry cough Tiredness Loss of taste or smell	A rash on skin Aches and pains Sore throat Diarrhea Conjunctivitis