Early Versus Late Tracheostomy for Patients with High and Low Cervical Spinal Cord Injuries
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ABSTRACT: Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate the effects of early versus late tracheostomies among patients with cervical spinal cord injuries (CSCIs). Methods: This retrospective study included 69 adult CSCI patients who underwent bedside percutaneous tracheostomies at the Intensive Care Unit of Khoul Hospital, Muscat, Oman, between January 2011 and October 2015. The tracheostomy was considered early if the procedure took place within one week of the CSCI. The impact of an early tracheostomy on patient outcomes was analysed in terms of duration of mechanical ventilation and intensive care unit (ICU) stay among patients with high (C1–C2 vertebrae) and low (C3–C7 vertebrae) CSCIs. Ventilator dependence, bradycardia episodes and surgical intervention outcomes were also examined. Results: Patients with a high CSCI who underwent an early tracheostomy spent significantly fewer days on mechanical ventilation compared to those who underwent a late tracheostomy (9.3 ± 7.2 days versus 13.7 ± 3.2 days; P = 0.041). Low CSCI patients who received an early tracheostomy also experienced significantly fewer days on mechanical ventilation compared to those undergoing a late tracheostomy (12.1 ± 10.4 days versus 25.2 ± 17.7 days; P = 0.035). Moreover, ICU mortality was significantly lower for high CSCI patients who underwent an early tracheostomy (P = 0.015). However, there was no association between length of ICU stay and either type of CSCI or timing of the tracheostomy procedure. Conclusion: An early tracheostomy is beneficial in reducing the duration of mechanical ventilation among patients with CSCIs, irrespective of the level of injury.

Keywords: Spinal Cord Injuries; Tracheostomy; Treatment Outcome; Mechanical Ventilation; Intensive Care Unit.
Tracheostomies are among the most commonly performed procedures in the intensive care unit (ICU). The intervention is designed to improve mechanical ventilatory support by decreasing dead space, enabling trachea-bronchial clearance and avoiding the sequelae of prolonged oro-tracheal intubation. Hence, a tracheostomy facilitates early weaning from mechanical ventilation, shortens the length of time that patients must remain under sedation and reduces associated complications. However, a tracheostomy is an invasive procedure and, although rare, can cause tracheal stenosis or other complications. Patients with cervical spinal cord injuries (CSCIs) have a high incidence (40–70%) of respiratory complications, such as atelectasis and pneumonia. Fractures to the upper cervical spine (C1–C2 vertebrae) are considered high injuries, while fractures to the rest of the cervical spine are classified as low injuries. In patients with a CSCI, a neurological injury at or above the C4 vertebrae and haematoma-like changes in the spinal cord revealed via magnetic resonance imaging predict the need for an early tracheostomy.

Although tracheostomies play a vital role in the airway management of CSCI patients, the ideal timing of the procedure remains a subject of substantial debate. Long-term dependence on mechanical ventilation is common in patients with high CSCIs. The conventional practice is to perform a tracheostomy if the anticipated duration of mechanical ventilation exceeds two weeks. In 1989, Plummer et al. stated that patients requiring mechanical ventilation for up to 10 days should be endotracheally intubated, whereas a tracheostomy was favoured when the predicted course of mechanical ventilation was greater than 21 days. However, evidence for this practice is limited and a defined pathway for the airway management of CSCI patients does not exist. The broad consensus among published studies is that an early tracheostomy is associated with fewer days in the ICU and a shorter duration of mechanical ventilation, although there are divergent findings regarding the impact of this procedure on rates of pneumonia or mortality. This study aimed to assess the impact of an early versus late tracheostomy in terms of duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay. In addition, the impact in terms of ventilator dependence, bradycardia episodes and surgical intervention outcomes was analysed.

Methods

This retrospective study included 69 adult patients with CSCIs who underwent a bedside percutaneous tracheostomy in the ICU of Khoula Hospital, Muscat, Oman, between January 2011 and October 2015. Only patients aged between 18–60 years old with an anticipated period of mechanical ventilation of >14 days and an initial Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score of >25 were included. The exclusion criteria consisted of patients with an anatomical deformity of the neck, those who had an earlier tracheostomy and those with active coagulopathy (defined as a platelet count <50,000/cc, an activated partial thromboplastin or prothrombin time >1.5 or bleeding time more than twice the normal range) or a soft tissue infection of the neck. In addition, patients with fraction of inspired oxygen requirements of >0.7 or a positive end-expiratory pressure of >12 were excluded. A tracheostomy was considered early if it was performed within seven days of injury; when performed from day eight onwards, it was considered a late tracheostomy. The level of CSCI was classified as high when the injury involved the first or second cervical vertebrae (C1–C2 vertebrae) and low if the injury involved the rest of the cervical spine (C3–C7 vertebrae). An injury severity score (ISS) of >30 and a sepsis-related organ failure assessment score of >11 was considered severe.

The primary objective of the study was to evaluate the impact of an early versus late tracheostomy on outcomes in terms of duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay. In addition, the impact in terms of ventilator dependence, bradycardia episodes and surgical intervention outcomes was analysed. The effects of an early tracheostomy were compared with those of a late tracheostomy separately for both high and low CSCI patients. In addition, the impact of an early tracheostomy was compared between high and low CSCI patients. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), Version 16.0 (IBM Corp., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for data analysis. Data were expressed as means and standard deviations or as numbers and percentages. Non-parametric data were compared using Pearson's Chi-squared or Fisher's exact tests, as applicable. Continuous variables were compared using Student's t-test. A P value of <0.050 was considered statistically significant.

### Application to Patient Care

The findings of this study indicate that patients with high CSCIs should undergo an early tracheostomy within seven days of injury in order to reduce duration of mechanical ventilation and its sequela. Patients with low CSCIs need to be evaluated on an individual basis regarding the decision to proceed with an early tracheostomy.
The protocol of this study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of Khoula Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from each patient or their surrogate decision-maker before the tracheostomy was performed.

Results

Of the 69 CSCI patients who underwent bedside percutaneous tracheostomies during the study period, 45 (65.2%) had high CSCIs and 24 (34.8%) had low CSCIs. The demographic and clinical characteristics of these patients were comparable [Table 1]. During the course of their stay in the ICU, the following complications were observed: pneumonia (n = 11; 15.9%), dependency on inotropes (n = 8; 11.6%), sepsis (n = 6; 8.7%), ventilator-associated pneumonia (n = 4; 5.8%), gastrointestinal haemorrhage (n = 3; 4.8%), severe bradycardia (n = 3; 4.8%), respiratory arrest (n = 3; 4.8%), abdominal compartment syndrome (n = 1; 1.4%) and abdominal haemorrhage (n = 1; 1.4%).

Among patients with high CSCIs, the duration of mechanical ventilation was significantly reduced for those who had received an early tracheostomy compared to those who had undergone a late tracheostomy (9.3 ± 7.2 days versus 13.7 ± 3.2 days; P = 0.041). However, no significant difference was found in terms of length of ICU stay between these groups (P = 0.982). High CSCI patients undergoing an early tracheostomy demonstrated significantly lower mortality compared to those with late tracheostomy (6.3% versus 38.5%; P = 0.015) [Table 2]. The duration of mechanical ventilation was also significantly reduced for patients with low CSCIs who had undergone an early tracheostomy compared to those with low CSCIs who had undergone an late tracheostomy (12.1 ± 10.4 days versus 25.2 ± 17.7 days; P = 0.035); however, patients with low CSCI undergoing an early tracheostomy did not have a significantly reduced mortality rate (15.8% versus 20.0%; P = 0.999) [Table 3].

In comparing the impact of an early tracheostomy between high and low CSCI patients, high CSCI patients had a reduced ICU stay (19.1 ± 32.7 days versus 23.4 ± 27.8 days) and duration of mechanical ventilation (9.3 ± 7.2 days versus 12.1 ± 10.4 days);
however, these associations were not significant ($P = 0.568$ and 0.114, respectively) [Table 4]. No significant differences were observed in bradycardia incidence or ventilator dependence between patients undergoing early tracheostomies compared to those receiving late tracheostomies, irrespective of the anatomical level of injury. Surgical intervention also had no impact on duration of mechanical ventilation or ICU stay, regardless of the level of injury.

**Discussion**

Patients with a CSCI have a substantial risk of developing respiratory compromise, particularly those with a high or complete spinal cord injury. This study evaluated the effect of an early tracheostomy on patients with CSCIs; the results demonstrated the benefit of an early tracheostomy in terms of reduced duration of mechanical ventilation, irrespective of the anatomical level of the CSCI. However, length of ICU stay, ventilator dependence and bradycardia incidence were not significantly affected by the timing of the intervention.

In the ICU, a practical decision regarding the optimal timing of a tracheostomy in CSCI patients is often based on the perceived risk-to-benefit ratio and the clinical indications of the procedure. An early tracheostomy has been reported to lower complication rates, particularly tracheal granulomas and stenosis. In a systematic review, Griffiths et al. found that an early tracheostomy significantly reduced the duration of mechanical ventilation and the length of stay in the ICU; however, there was no impact on mortality or the incidence of pneumonia. In comparison, Rumbak et al. reported that a tracheostomy performed within 48 hours of injury significantly decreased rates of mortality and pneumonia as well as the duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay. A meta-analysis by Dunham et al. indicated that an early tracheostomy resulted in patients with severe head injuries being more rapidly weaned off of mechanical ventilation, although survival rates did not change. De Leyn et al. demonstrated that a tracheostomy performed within seven days of the injury shortened the duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay in adult trauma patients with a CSCI.
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In another meta-analysis of early versus late tracheostomies, Liu et al. reported that the former significantly decreased ICU stays but did not influence mortality; similar results were presented by Jeon et al.22,26 Leelapattana et al. also found that an early tracheostomy resulted in fewer days on mechanical ventilation and a shorter hospital stay.21

A high CSCI is invariably associated with respiratory compromise and higher mortality.22 In a retrospective analysis of 178 patients, Harrop et al. found that all patients with grade A injuries as classified by the American Spinal Injury Association at the C2 and C3 vertebrae needed tracheotomies, whereas no patients with C8 injuries required the procedure.8 According to a nationwide study of Finnish patients with fatal cervical spine injuries between 1987 and 2010, the majority of high CSCI patients who were <60 years old died within 24 hours of injury.4 The present study found that a tracheostomy performed within seven days of injury in CSCI patients resulted in significantly reduced duration of mechanical ventilation regardless of the anatomical level of injury. Although the current study did not show an overall reduction in the length of ICU stay following an early tracheostomy, patients with a low CSCI stayed for a longer length of time in the ICU on average. An early tracheostomy significantly reduced mortality rates among patients with high CSCLs, although this trend was not observed for the low CSCI group; as a result, an early tracheostomy is recommended for patients with high CSCLs although patients with low CSCLs should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

Tracheostomies offer numerous advantages over endotracheal intubation, such as reduced airway resistance, improved pulmonary hygiene and diminished work of breathing, thereby enabling easier and earlier weaning from mechanical ventilation.23,24 A fenestrated tracheostomy also allows for phonation, enabling the patient to communicate vocally; this has been shown to improve both medical and psychological outcomes among patients with neurological injuries.25,26 Factors which can influence the decision to perform a tracheostomy in CSCI patients include the presence of a complete CSCI, the anatomical level of injury, the patient’s Glasgow Coma Scale score and ISS and the presence of an associated thoracic injury.27 A bedside percutaneous tracheostomy is currently the standard procedure for patients requiring mechanical ventilation.28 In low CSCLs (C5–T1 vertebra), a catastrophic loss of airway control often negates the benefits of treatment.29 Hassid et al. affirmed that an immediate thorough evaluation for respiratory failure is necessary among low CSCI patients, regardless of the severity of the injury; they recommended early intubation in these patients and found that half of incomplete CSCI patients would eventually require a tracheostomy.28

This retrospective study had several limitations. The analysis focused on a moderate number of patients from a single centre with a limited follow-up period; therefore, the impact of an early tracheostomy on long-term mortality or survival benefits could not be assessed. The total length of hospital stay was also not considered. Moreover, the impact of operator skill in performing the tracheostomy may have affected outcomes. Future studies should be prospective in nature and include a higher number of patients from different centres, more rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria and a longer follow-up period in order to determine the replicability of the findings observed in the present study.

Conclusion

A tracheostomy performed within seven days of injury significantly reduced the duration of mechanical ventilation among CSCI patients, irrespective of the anatomical level of injury. However, there was no association between length of ICU stay and either the timing of the tracheostomy or level of injury, although patients with a low CSCI spent a longer time in the ICU on average. Mortality was significantly lower among high CSCI patients who underwent an early tracheostomy, although this was not the case for patients with low CSCLs. Based on these findings, it is recommended that all patients with high CSCLs be considered for an early tracheostomy, while those with a low CSCI should be evaluated on an individual basis.
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