Inflammatory Markers as a Predictor of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis
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Abstract

Objectives: Postmenopausal osteoporosis is a progressive metabolic bone disease resulting from estrogen deficiency. However, due to the silent nature of the disease, there is an urgent need for a simple, early predictive marker. This study, conducted between January 2017 to December 2019, aimed to assess the potential of three factors—specifically, the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR), and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR)—as inflammatory markers of bone mineral density (BMD) loss. Methods: A retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted among 450 postmenopausal Omani women undergoing dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry at the Sultan Qaboos University Hospital, Muscat, Oman. Participants were allocated into groups based on lumbar spine BMD t-score values. A receiver-operating characteristic curve was used to find the area under the curve (AUC). Multivariate logistic regression was performed to identify independent predictors of low BMD. Results: A total of 65 (14.4%), 164 (36.4%), and 221 (49.1%) women were allocated to the control, osteopenia, and osteoporosis groups, respectively. No significant differences in PLR, MLR, and
NLR values were observed based on group allocation. BMD t-score values were reversely correlated with age (P = 0.007) and PLR (P = 0.004), and positively correlated with body mass index (BMI) (P <0.001). The AUC was 0.59. However, the only independent predictors of low BMD were age (>65 years) and BMI (<25 kg/m²). **Conclusion:** None of the three inflammatory biomarkers studied were found to be useful prognostic indicators of bone loss. Further research is recommended to reject or support theories regarding the role of inflammatory status in the pathogenesis.
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**Advances in Knowledge:**
- Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio was found to be a poor indicator of bone loss in postmenopausal women; as such, evaluation of this marker would have minimal use from a prognostic or diagnostic perspective.
- Although neither neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio nor monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio values were found to be correlated with lumbar spine bone mineral density (BMD) t-score values and BMD group allocation, these findings cannot be used to either support or reject current theories related to the role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of postmenopausal osteoporosis (PMOP).

**Application to Patient Care:**
- Based on these findings, bone mineral densitometry remains the best prognostic indicator for PMOP.

**Introduction**
Osteoporosis is a chronic progressive metabolic bone disease, affecting approximately 10% of the global population. The progressive systemic disease is characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural impairment of the bone tissue. The prevalence of osteoporosis is significantly higher among postmenopausal women and men over 70 years of age. Primary osteoporosis is classified into types 1 and 2, also referred to as estrogen-related postmenopausal osteoporosis (PMOP) and age-related senile osteoporosis, respectively.
The pathogenesis of PMOP is mainly related to the sudden onset of hypoestrogenemia at menopause which has both a direct and indirect effect on bone resorption. Indirectly, impaired T cell function increases the recruitment and lifespan of osteoclasts by releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL) 1-beta (IL-1B), IL-6, IL-11, IL-15, IL1-7, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha. Prolonged exposure to these pro-inflammatory cytokines induces receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B (RANK) ligand (RANKL) and suppresses osteoprotegerin (OPG). Moreover, estrogen deficiency also influences the release of high levels of RANKL by the B and T lymphocytes. Increased expression of RANK results in increased interaction between RANK and RANKL, thereby increasing osteoclast bone resorption activity and the differentiation of osteoclast precursor cells, and inhibiting osteoclast apoptosis. This overactive osteoclastic status results in the greater resorption of trabecular compared to cortical bone.

Clinically, PMOP increases the risk of asymptomatic compression vertebral fractures, as well as symptomatic fractures such as Colle’s fractures or those of the wrist or hip. Mild compression fractures are usually painless with no obvious clinical symptoms. However, most patients diagnosed with osteoporosis present with osteoporotic fractures, usually following trauma; as such, the disease accounts for a considerable medical and socioeconomic burden. In 2010, there were an estimated 2.7 million hip fractures worldwide, of which 50.6% were attributable to osteoporosis and thus preventable. Risk factors for PMOP include age, genetic factors, calcium and vitamin D deficiencies, use of corticosteroids and anticancer drugs, hormonal levels, physical inactivity, and low peak bone mass. However, previous studies have shown that the prevalence of osteoporosis among women aged over 50 years varies widely (10.3–34.8%). In particular, Omani women may be at higher risk of PMOP as a consequence of calcium and vitamin D deficiencies and inactive lifestyles.

According to the diagnostic criteria of the World Health Organization, osteopenia and osteoporosis should be considered in young adult females if bone mineral density (BMD) is 1–2.5 or ≥2.5 standard deviations (SDs) below the mean, respectively. Although various methods can be used to assess BMD, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the gold standard, particularly to calculate bone mineral content of the lumbar spine, hip bone, and femur neck.
The often delayed presentation and serious complications exhibited by osteoporotic patients underline the need for an early, rapid, and simple predictive marker. Despite the predictive role of levels of certain inflammatory cytokines in the blood, such as RANKL and OPG, these markers are not often used due to the complex nature of such laboratory monitoring. Previous research has confirmed that serum inflammatory markers can play a diagnostic role in various diseases, with researchers reporting an association between inflammatory response and potential loss of bone mass.

However, few studies have assessed the predictive role of inflammatory markers such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR), and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR). Moreover, the results of such studies have been conflicting. Ye et al. reported a correlation between increased bone loss and osteoporosis severity with low lymphocyte and high neutrophil and monocyte ratios among 487 patients at a hospital in China. Yilmaz et al. found a significant negative correlation between NLR and lumbar spine BMD values, concluding that NLR might be a better predictor of PMOP compared to C-reactive protein (CRP) level. In a cross-sectional study of 252 postmenopausal women in Turkey, Eroglu and Karatas reported that the osteoporotic group demonstrated a significantly higher PLR; however, no association was noted with NLR. In contrast, a cross-sectional study of 407 postmenopausal women in Korea conducted by Lee et al. found that NLR was significantly higher in the PMOP group, but not PLR. Two other studies conducted in China confirmed that BMD was negatively correlated with NLR among 233 postmenopausal women and 316 osteoporotic patients, respectively.

As the onset of osteoporosis is not obvious, lacking obvious disease characteristics, it is therefore difficult to diagnose early; once a patient has visible changes in body shape or bone pain, the lesion has already entered an accelerated phase. At present, clinical diagnostic methods primarily include osteoporosis screening tools such as the FRAX® tool (University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK), bone turnover markers, and BMD detection technologies, with the latter being some of the most common. An objective and non-invasive diagnostic predictor at an earlier disease stage is needed. Peripheral blood markers are newly proposed inflammatory factors with various advantages over other modalities, such as simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and non-invasiveness.
The aim of this study was to clarify the association between inflammatory markers—specifically NLR, PLR, and MLR values—and lumbar spine BMD t-score values in a cohort of postmenopausal Omani women. Assessment of these simple inflammatory serum markers may help in the early diagnosis of osteoporosis, thus precluding the development of serious complications such as asymptomatic compression fractures. Ideally, the results of this study can add to existing knowledge in the literature and may inform future systematic reviews and meta-analyses designed to conclude on the effectiveness of these markers.

Methods

Study design and subjects

This retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted among postmenopausal women who underwent DEXA scanning between 1st January 2017 and 31st December 2019 at the Sultan Qaboos University Hospital in Muscat, Oman. A non-probability convenience sampling strategy was used to recruit all women presenting to this hospital during this period who were either ≥50 years of age or <50 years of age if postmenopausal status was confirmed. However, women with a history of menopause of less than a year in duration were excluded, as were women with conditions or factors thought to affect immunoinflammatory response, including those with hepatic, renal, oncological, hematological, or rheumatologic diseases. Similarly, women with a history of steroid use, trauma, hospitalization over the preceding 6 months, and blood transfusions over the last 12 months were also excluded.

Data collection and analysis

Data were collected from the database of the electronic hospital information system. Information regarding the demographic characteristics of the participants were collected, including age, weight, and height. The body mass index (BMI) of each participant was calculated as follows: 

$$BMI = \frac{\text{weight in kg}}{(\text{height in m})^2}.$$ 

In addition, various laboratory results from the participants’ most recent blood tests were collected, including their hemoglobin (Hb) level, mean cell volume, platelet count, neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, and monocyte count. Inflammatory markers PLR, NLR and MLR were subsequently calculated using the following formulae: 

$$\text{PLR} = \frac{\text{platelet count}}{\text{Lymphocytic count}},$$ 

$$\text{NLR} = \frac{\text{Neutrophil count}}{\text{Lymphocyte count}},$$ 

$$\text{MLR} = \frac{\text{Monocyte count}}{\text{Lymphocyte count}}.$$
Neutrophil lymphocytic ratio = neutrophil count/Lymphocytic count and Monocyte lymphocytic ratio = Monocyte count/Lymphocyte count.

Finally, BMD t-score values were obtained from DEXA imaging of the lumbar spine, femoral neck, or hip bone, with these values used to allocate the participants to control, osteopenia, or osteoporosis groups. For the purposes of the analysis, participants in the osteopenia and osteoporosis groups were combined to draw comparisons between those with normal BMD values and those with low BMD values.

**Statistical analysis**

Data calculations and statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Age and BMI were expressed as means ± SDs, while all other continuous variables were expressed as means and ranges, including Hb levels and PLR, NLR, and MLR values. A one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine the normality of continuous variables, with all variables found to be non-normally distributed. Non-parametric tests such as Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed to determine the difference between two groups or more than two groups, respectively. Associations were determined between BMD group allocation and selected variables, including age, BMI, Hb levels, and PLR, NLR, and MLR values.

Spearman’s correlation test was applied to evaluate the significance of correlations between age, BMI, Hb level, PLR, NLR, and MLR values, and lumbar spine BMD t-score values. A receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was employed to find the area under the curve (AUC) and determine the PLR cut-off value. A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the strongest independent predictors of osteoporosis. A \( P \) value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethics approval**

The Medical Research and Ethics Committee of the College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sultan Qaboos University approved this study.
**Results**

A total of 450 women were included in the study. The mean age ± SD was 63.69 ± 8.23 years, with the majority (56.7%) being 50–65 years old, followed by >65 years (40.2%) and <50 years (2.9%). The mean BMI ± SD was 29.24 ± 5.93 kg/m². Based on their BMD values, 65 (14.4%), 164 (36.4%), and 221 (49.1%) women were allocated to the control, osteopenia, and osteoporosis groups, respectively. The mean age ± SD of women in these groups was 59.80 ± 8.66, 62.71 ± 6.90, and 65.76 ± 8.29 years, respectively. Age was significantly higher in the osteoporosis group (P <0.001), while BMI was significantly higher in the control group (P <0.001) [Tables 1 and 2].

**Relationships between inflammatory markers and BMD group allocation**

No significant differences in mean PLR, MLR, and NLR values were observed between women with normal BMD values and those with low BMD values (P >0.05) [Table 1]. Furthermore, no significant differences were noted in mean PLR, MLR, and NLR values between the control, osteopenia, and osteoporosis groups (P >0.05) [Table 2]. Similarly, differences in Hb level between the groups were non-significant (P >0.05) [Tables 1 and 2].

**Relationships between inflammatory markers and BMD t-score values**

According to the correlation analysis, lumbar spine BMD t-score values were reversely correlated with age (P = 0.007) and PLR values (P = 0.004), and positively correlated with BMI (P <0.001). However, no significant correlations were observed with Hb levels and NLR or MLR values [Table 3]. A ROC curve analysis indicated that the AUC was 0.59, which was significant for PLR values only [Figure 1]. We estimated the PLR cut-off value to be 117.11.

**Other relationships**

Age was positively correlated with all three inflammatory markers, including NLR (P = 0.001), PLR (P = 0.031), and MLR (P <0.001) values. In addition, age was reversely correlated with BMI (P = 0.046) and Hb levels (P = 0.002). There was also a positive correlation between all three of the inflammatory markers studied (P <0.001) [Table 3].
Logistic regression analysis

Based on the logistic regression analysis, an age of >65 years and a BMI of <25 kg/m² were identified as independent predictors of low BMD [Table 4].

Discussion

Serum inflammatory markers are considered indicators of many chronic inflammatory diseases, with both PLR and NLR values reported as indicators of severity in ulcerative colitis and acute pancreatitis as well as various neoplastic conditions such as hepatocellular carcinoma and colorectal, breast, and lung cancers. Similarly, there is strong evidence to support the association between systemic inflammatory status and osteoporosis, with pro-inflammatory markers, hormones, and growth factors all playing a role in the pathogenesis of the disease. Various epidemiological studies have shown an increased risk of osteoporosis in chronic inflammatory conditions, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, ankylosing spondylitis, Crohn’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and ulcerative colitis. In addition, a previous study reported a negative correlation between low BMD and NLR, CRP, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate in elderly people.

While the role of inflammation in osteoporosis has been proven by many studies at the molecular level, there is yet insufficient evidence to support the relationship between serum levels of these inflammatory markers and degree of bone loss. This may be because serum levels of inflammatory markers may not always reflect the processes happening at the tissue level. A prospective case-cohort study reported a correlation between certain serum inflammatory markers—specifically IL-6 and its soluble receptor (SR) and TNF SR1 and TNF SR2—and an increased risk of hip fractures. Alternatively, other researchers have shown no correlation between IL-6 and osteoporosis. The present cross-sectional study sought to assess the relationship between BMD and three serum inflammatory markers—namely, NLR, PLR and MLR values—among a cohort of 450 postmenopausal Omani women. No significant differences with regards to NLR, PLR, and MLR values were noted between participants according to their allocation into normal and low BMD groups; likewise, there were no significant differences in these markers when the participants were further subcategorized into control, osteopenia, and
osteoporosis groups. Similarly, a correlation analysis of lumbar spine BMD t-score values indicated no significant correlations with NLR and MLR values.

Overall, PLR was the only studied inflammatory marker found to be significantly correlated with BMD t-score values, with PLR values reversely correlated with lumbar spine BMD t-scores. These results confirm findings reported from a similar study performed in Turkey, in which PLR was the only inflammatory marker to correlate negatively with lumbar spine BMD t-score values. Accordingly, PLR can be considered an indicator of BMD in postmenopausal women and may even reflect the degree of osteoporosis when correlated with lumbar spine BMD t-score values. However, a ROC curve analysis revealed that PLR failed to predict osteoporosis in our study, and appeared to be a poor test for low BMD in the previous study conducted in Turkey.

Based on our findings, neither NLR nor MLR values can be considered predictive markers of osteoporosis, as they do not appear to directly indicate osteoporotic risk. These findings may be explained by the large number of factors affecting white blood cells, such as infection, cardiovascular diseases, ulcerative colitis, acute appendicitis, metabolic syndrome, malignancy, pharmacological agents, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. However, conflicting findings regarding the relationship between NLR and BMD values have been reported. Three cross-sectional studies demonstrated negative correlations in different populations in East Asia. Additionally, one of these studies found a negatively correlation between MLR and BMD values. In contrast, neither our study nor the previous one conducted in Turkey reported correlations between BMD and NLR or MLR values. These variations might be due to variations in ethnicity or genetic and environmental factors, particularly when comparing differences between East Asian and Middle Eastern populations. Regardless, further research is necessary to either support or reject current theories regarding the role of inflammatory status in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis.

In the current study, both age and BMI were significantly associated with group allocation based on BMD values, with the logistic regression analysis indicating that advanced age and low BMI were independent predictors of low BMD. In addition, age was negatively correlated with lumbar spine BMD t-score values. These finding are to be expected given that osteoporosis is a
progressive age-related disease, with old age considered the greatest risk factor for the disease.\textsuperscript{13} In contrast, BMI was positively correlated with both lumbar spine BMD t-score values and BMD group allocation, with women in the control group having a significantly greater BMI in comparison to those in the low BMD groups. This finding can be explained by the loss of muscle and adipocyte replacement due to lack of physical activity in the osteoporosis group, especially for those with osteoporotic fractures, as well as the minimal loss of bone weight due to the osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{30} On the other hand, high BMI cannot be considered a protective factor for osteoporosis, as obesity is associated both with physical inactivity and low bone quality.\textsuperscript{31}

Daytime variation of hematological parameters can also affect PLR, NLR, and MLR values, particularly with regards to neutrophil, monocyte, and lymphocyte percentages; conversely, red blood cells, platelets, and other related parameters have been found to exhibit less frequent daytime variation.\textsuperscript{32} Bektas \textit{et al}. emphasized that chronic inflammatory status and the dysregulation of proinflammatory markers correlate with the natural aging process in all species, resulting in the elevation of inflammatory markers such as CRP, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-alpha.\textsuperscript{33} The findings of the current study confirm this concept, as all three of the inflammatory markers studied were found to be positively correlated with age. Such factors may have resulted in the non-significant capacity of these plasma inflammatory markers to indicate low BMD, considering the inability to separate two intertwined factors—namely, age and low estrogen levels.

\textit{Limitations and recommendations}

The current study was subject to certain limitations that should be acknowledged. First, as we employed a convenience sampling strategy, no minimum sample size was calculated; as such, it was not possible to determine the representativeness of the cohort to the population being studied. Second, we could not exclude all patients with medical conditions known to interfere with NLR, MLR and PLR values, due to insufficient patient medical information and the huge number of conditions known to affect these factors.\textsuperscript{27} Third, we could not exclude all secondary causes of osteoporosis. Fourth, as the study was conducted at a single center using a cross-sectional design, we could not determine longitudinal changes in NLR, MLR, and PLR values in the study population; as such, we could not assess the role of these serum inflammatory markers
in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. Further longitudinal studies are recommended to determine changes in these serum inflammatory markers among women in the early postmenopausal period. Moreover, additional research is recommended to assess more specific markers of PMOP inflammation in this population, including such cytokines as interferon (IFN) α-2, IFN-γ, IL-12p70, IL-33, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1.24

Conclusion
In summary, PLR was found to be a poor indicator of bone loss in postmenopausal women; as such, evaluation of this marker would have minimal use from a prognostic or diagnostic perspective. Although neither NLR nor MLR values were found to be correlated with lumbar spine BMD t-score values and BMD group allocation, these findings cannot be used to either support or reject current theories related to the role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of PMOP. Further research is recommended and should focus on other specific serum inflammatory markers for osteoporosis.
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**Table 1:** Comparison of age, Hb levels, BMI, and PLR, NLR, and MLR values between subjects with normal and low BMD values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (range)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age ± SD (years)</td>
<td>Normal BMD group (n = 65)</td>
<td>Low BMD group (n = 385)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI ± SD (kg/m²)</td>
<td>59.80 ± 8.66</td>
<td>64.50 ± 7.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb level (g/dL)</td>
<td>12.59 (11.0–14.7)</td>
<td>12.41 (10.3–15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>122.93 (59.68–245.00)</td>
<td>127.68 (39.74–256.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>1.22 (0.36–2.93)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.20–4.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLR</td>
<td>0.194 (0.08–0.37)</td>
<td>0.212 (0.09–0.65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hb = hemoglobin; BMI = body mass index; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; BMD = bone mineral density; SD = standard deviation.*

**Table 2:** Comparison of age, Hb levels, BMI, and PLR, NLR, and MLR values between the control, osteopenia, and osteoporosis groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (range)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age ± SD (years)</td>
<td>Control group (n = 65)</td>
<td>Osteopenia group (n = 164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI ± SD (kg/m²)</td>
<td>59.80 ± 8.66</td>
<td>62.71 ± 6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb level (g/dL)</td>
<td>12.59 (11.0–14.7)</td>
<td>12.47 (10.3–15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>122.93 (59.68–245.00)</td>
<td>122.36 (46.30–240.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine BMD t-score values</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: Correlations between lumbar spine BMD t-score values and age, BMI, Hb levels, and PLR, NLR and MLR values.

Hb = hemoglobin; BMI = body mass index; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; BMD = bone mineral density; SD = standard deviation.

**Figure 1**: ROC curve analysis for PLR. The AUC was 0.59. The PLR cut-off value was ~117.11.
ROC = receiver-operating characteristic; PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; AUC = area under the curve.

Table 4: Logistic regression analysis of age and BMI as potential predictors of low BMD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65 years</td>
<td>1.942 (1.10–3.44)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &lt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>8.419 (2.01–35.20)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index; BMD = bone mineral density; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.