1	SUBMITTED 1 OCT 23
2	REVISION REQ. 16 NOV23; REVISION RECD. 20 DEC 23
3	ACCEPTED 18 JAN 24
4	ONLINE-FIRST: JANUARY 2024
5	DOI: https://doi.org/10.18295/squmj.1.2024.005
6	
7	Support for Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccines for 5–11-Year-Old Children
8	A cross-sectional study of Omani mothers
9	*Salah Al Awaidy,¹ Faryal Khamis,² Thamra Al Ghafri,³ Abdallah Badahdah⁴
10	
11	<sup>1</sup> Health Affairs, Ministry of Health, Muscat, Oman; <sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, The Royal Hospital,
12	Muscat, Oman; <sup>3</sup> Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health, Muscat, Oman; <sup>4</sup> School of
13	Psychology, Sociology and Rural Studies, South Dakota State University, Brookings, USA.
14	*Corresponding Author's e-mail: salah.awaidy@gmail.com
15	
16	Abstract
17	Objectives: The vaccination against COVID-19 has averted millions of fatalities during the
18	COVID-19 pandemic. Nevertheless, a considerable number of parents and caregivers oppose
19	mandating COVID-19 vaccines for children. This study investigated the variables that influenced
20	a sample of Omani mothers' support for mandatory COVID-19 vaccines for children. Methods:
21	A Cross-Sectional Study of Omani Mothers was collected from 700 mothers (response rate =
22	73.4%) of children 5–11 years old from several healthcare facilities in Oman using a structured
23	questionnaire between February 20 and March 13, 2022. Univariable and multivariable logistic
24	regression models were used to analyze the data. Results: The median age of mothers was 38
25	years (SD = 5.14). The results of multivariable logistic regression were generally consistent with
26	those of the univariable analysis except for age (OR = $1.06$ , $95\%$ CI [.58, $1.93$ ], $p = .86$ ) and
27	income ( $OR = 1.09, 95\%$ CI [.58, 2.03], $p = .79$ ). Mothers who were vaccine hesitant ( $OR = 9.82$ ,
28	95% CI [5.27, 18.28], $p < .001$ ), tested positive for COVID-19 ( $OR = 3.25, 95\%$ CI [1.80, 5.86],
29	p < .001), and had one or two doses of COVID-19 vaccines ( $OR = 5.41, 95%$ CI [2.92, 10.03], $p$
30	<.001) were more likely to refuse mandating COVID-19 vaccines for children 5–11 years old.
31	Conclusions: The findings should aid public health authorities in designing future childhood

32 vaccine literacy programs with a specific attention to some subgroups in Oman to help reduce 33 opposition to vaccines in future pandemics among mothers. 34 Keywords: COVID-19; Mandatory vaccine; Vaccine hesitancy; Children; Oman. 35 Advances in Knowledge 36 37 Improving uptake of COVID-19 immunization among children in Oman. Identify some of the variables that influence support for mandatory COVID-19 38 39 immunization among 5–11 year-old children in Oman. 40 Improving vaccine literacy will help increase vaccination rates. 41 42 **Applications to Patient Care** To effectively implement evidence-based mandatory vaccination initiatives. 43 44 To enable comprehensive health communication strategies that promote childhood vaccinations. 45 46 47 Introduction The COVID-19 vaccines reduce the risk of both infection and transmission among children.<sup>1</sup> 48 Nonetheless, a small proportion of children experienced severe illnesses that required treatment. 49 and a few have died as a result of the disease.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, children with underlying co-50 51 morbidities such as obesity, heart, kidney, or liver disease, and cancer are at a greater risk of 52 developing severe COVID-19 disease. Children with COVID-19 are susceptible to developing 53 'long COVID, which is characterized by symptoms such as fatigue, brain fog, and shortness of breath.<sup>3</sup> Hence, vaccinating children should reduce the possibility of COVID-19-related health 54 55 issues and interference with their education and social activities.<sup>4</sup> 56 57 There is a large body of research on caregivers' intention to vaccinate their children against COVID-19.<sup>5-7</sup> It shows that support for vaccination of children against COVID-19 is complex 58 59 and influenced by several factors such as concerns about vaccine safety, belief in conspiracy 60 theories, effectiveness of the vaccines, and caregivers' sociodemographic variables, including

age, income, and education. Support for COVID-19 vaccines for children, however, waxed and

waned over the course of the pandemic<sup>8-11</sup> To illustrate, a study conducted from 2021-2022

61

63 found that parental intent to vaccinate children declined over a 3-month period, but reverted to baseline after 6 months.<sup>11</sup> 64 65 In the fight to stop or slow the spread of COVID-19 disease, several governments, schools, 66 healthcare entities, and private businesses around the world mandated COVID-19 67 vaccination. 12,13 Debates, however, erupted during the pandemic regarding the legality, ethics, 68 and effectiveness of mandated COVID-19 vaccines for some populations, including children. 14-17 69 70 Although research indicates low vaccination rates among young children, <sup>18-20</sup> little research has 71 72 been done to explore caregivers support for mandatory COVID-19 vaccines, especially in the Arab world. 21-23 The current study presents survey data gathered in 2022 to investigate the 73 74 attitudes of Omani mothers towards mandating COVID-19 vaccines for children 5-11 years old. 75 To better understand the factors that correlate with attitudes, several variables including age, income, employment status, educational attainment, and vaccine hesitancy were examined. The 76 77 findings of the present study should provide public health authorities in Oman with data to better 78 prepare for future pandemic related vaccination campaigns. 79 80 Methods 81 Study Setting 82 This study took place in Muscat Governorate, which includes Muscat, the capital of the Sultanate 83 of Oman, the largest of the 11 Governorates, with an estimated 1,302,440 inhabitants. The 84 Directorate General of Health Services in Muscat governorate houses 30 primary healthcare 85 centers, 2 polyclinics, and 3 hospitals. 86 Participants and Procedure 87 88 This is a cross-sectional survey of mothers of children between the ages of 5 and 11 years were 89 recruited using a convenience sampling method. They were approached during their visits to 90 seven primary care centers in Muscat governorate by 14 family physicians between February 20 91 and March 13, 2022. To determine the sample size, we assumed that 50% of mothers are hesitant 92 about their views. Hence, a minimum of 550 participants would be needed with an interval of 93 confidence of 99% (z value of 2.58), a margin of error of 5% (delta value of 0.05), and a

participation refusal rate of 20%. After initial screening, we approached 954 eligible mothers, and only 700 completed the surveys (response rate = 73.4%).

96 97

98

99

100

94

95

The 14 research assistants, all family physicians, were recruited and trained to administer a face-to-face Arabic questionnaire. Only Omani mothers aged 18 years and older with children between the ages of 5 and 11 years were enrolled in the study. Informed consent was obtained from all mothers. Participation was voluntary and anonymous, and participants received no compensation.

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

101

### Measures

# Mother Socio-demographic

We collected sociodemographic data from mothers, including age, household income, educational attainment, employment status, and the number of children aged 5–11 years. We also asked about COVID-19 infections, COVID-19 vaccination status, and the decision-maker in the family with respect to vaccinating children against COVID-19. The age of the participants was dichotomized based on the median value (median =38) into "38 and younger" and "39 and older". Household income was measured by an open-ended one item then divided into "≤ 4,679 USD (1,802 Riyals Oman)" and "≥ 4,681 USD (1,724 Riyals Oman)" groups. Six response categories that ranged from 1 (did not attend school) to 6 (postgraduate degree) were used to assess educational level. The educational level is then divided into "high school or less" and "college degree or higher" categories. A binary item (employed/not employed) was used to report on employment status. Regarding the history of COVID-19 test results, participants were asked whether they ever tested positive, negative, not sure, or do not know. The COVID-19 vaccination status was assessed by one question that asked if they had received no vaccines against COVID-19, one dose/two doses, or two doses and a booster shot. This item was divided into two categories: "one dose/two doses" and "two doses and a booster". Finally, participants reported on who would make the final decision about whether their children should be vaccinated against COVID-19. Four response options were given, including me only, my husband only, both my husband and I, or do not know. Prior to conducting the actual study, we pilot tested the study questionnaire using a sample of 25 mothers with children 5-11. Appropriate changes were made including words missing and the removal of two items.

125 126 **COVID-19 Mandatory Vaccines** 127 Support for mandatory COVID-19 vaccines for children 5-11 years old was measured by a single 128 item: "COVID-19 vaccines should be compulsory in Oman for children ages 5-11. The item was 129 followed by a 5-point Likert scale, with 1 representing strongly disagreeing and 5 indicating 130 strongly agreeing. To have a clear picture of mother support for mandating COVID-19 vaccines, 131 the "not sure" responses were removed from the analysis. Then, the item was averaged and 132 dichotomized using the median as a cut-off point into supportive (strongly disagree and agree) 133 and not supportive (disagree and strongly agree) of mandatory COVID-19 vaccines. 134 135 Vaccine Hesitancy Scale To assess vaccine hesitancy, participants responded to a 4-item scale using a 5-point Likert-type 136 137 format that ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Items were adopted from previous studies with some editing. <sup>24, 25</sup> Examples of these items were "I do not trust that the 138 139 COVID-19 vaccines can protect children from COVID-19 disease" and "Children COVID-19 140 vaccines are effective". An exploratory factor analysis using principal axis factoring with the 141 Promax rotation (k = 4) showed a one-factor solution that explained 58% of the variance in the 142 data ( $\alpha = .85$ ). After reverse coding one item, all items were averaged, as higher scores represent 143 lower levels of hesitancy, and then dichotomized using the median score (median = 3.0) as a cut-144 off point. So, mothers who scored equal to or above the median were classified as "less hesitant". 145 146 Analytic Approach 147 Descriptive statistics, including the mean, standard deviations, frequency counts, and 148 percentages, were used to describe the study variables. To explore the factors associated with 149 support for mandating COVID-19 vaccines for children 5-11 years old, univariable and 150 multivariable logistic regression models were used. All statistical analyses were performed using 151 SPSS V. 29 (IBM Corp., 2016). 152 153 Univariable binary logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the association between 154 sociodemographic variables (age, income, educational level, employment status) and COVID-155 19-related factors (vaccine hesitancy, COVID-19 vaccination status, COVID-19 test results) and

156 the dependent variable of opposing mandatory COVID-19 vaccines for children 5-11 years 157 old. Variables with a p value < 0.10 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate 158 logistic regression analysis to identify variables that were associated with the dependent variable. 159 The odds ratio (OR) values and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated, and a p 160 value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. We used the Hosmer and Lemeshow 161 chi-squared test to evaluate the model fit of the multivariable logistic regression analysis. A p-162 value of less than 0.05 was deemed statistically significant. 163 164 Ethical Approval

- 165 The study was approved by the Regional Study Approval and Ethical Review Committee
- 166 (MoH/CSR/22/25452) at the Directorate General of Health Services in Muscat Governorate,
- 167 Ministry of Health, and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

168

169

### **Results**

- 170 The present data comes from 700 mothers who visited one of the seven primary public health
- 171 care centers in Muscat Governorate, the capital of Oman. Participants had a median age of 38
- 172 years (SD = 5.14, range = 25-53) with a sizable proportion (75%) having 1–2 children. Almost
- 173 half of the children (48%) were girls. Most of the mothers (73%) had a college degree or higher,
- 174 and 70.3 % were working full-time, mostly in the public sector (84.5%). Slightly less than half
- 175 (46.9%) made  $\leq 4,679$  USD a month or less. A small number of the participants were not
- 176 employed (29.7%). Half of the sample tested positive for COVID-19, while the other 42.7%
- 177 tested negative, and the rest (7.4%) were not sure or did not know. Almost all (92.5%) mothers
- 178 reported that they and their husband would make the final decision regarding vaccinating their
- 179 children against COVID-19. As for the outcome variable, after mothers who were not sure
- 180 (n=92) were removed from the logistic regression analyses, only a small percentage (25.3%)
- 181 were supportive of mandatory vaccination, while the majority (74.4%) were against it [Table 1].

- 183 The results of the univariable binary logistic regression analysis showed that mothers 38 years
- 184 old and younger, OR = 2.35, 95% CI [1.62, 3.41], p < .001, low income mothers, OR = 2.98, 95%
- 185 CI [1.90, 4.67], p < .001, vaccine hesitant ones, OR = 11.73, 95% CI [7.56, 18.19], p < .001, those
- 186 tested positive for COVID-19, OR = 2.75, 95% CI [1.87, 4.06], p < .001, and those who got one or

- two doses of COVID-19 vaccines, OR= 2.75, 95% CI [1.87, 4.06], p <.001, were significantly
- associated with higher odds of rejecting mandatory COVID-19 vaccines for 5-11 year old
- children. Finally, support for mandatory vaccination was not related to educational status p = .11
- and employment status, p = .25 [Table 2].

191

- 192 As for the multivariable logistic regression, the Hosmer and Lemeshow test indicated a good fit
- of the data ( $\chi^2 = 9.82$  (8) p = .28). The logistic regression model was statistically significant,  $\chi^2$
- 194 (5) = 189.52, p < .000, explained 54.0% (Nagelkerke  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) of the variance in opposition to
- mandatory vaccines, and correctly classified 85.8 % of cases. The results were generally
- 196 consistent with that of the univariable analysis except for age (OR=1.06, 95% CI [.58, 1.93], p=
- .86) and income (OR = 1.09, 95% CI [.58, 2.03], p = .79). That is, vaccine hesitant mothers (OR
- 198 = 9.82, 95% CI [5.27, 18.28], p < .001), those who tested positive for COVID-19 (OR = 3.25,
- 95% CI [1.80, 5.86], p < .001), and those who had one or two doses of COVID-19 vaccines (OR
- = 5.41, 95% CI [2.92, 10.03], p < .001) were associated with refusal to mandate COVID-19
- vaccines for children 5-11 years old.

202

203

## Discussion

- In this cross-sectional study, we collected data from Omani mothers to explore the effect of their
- age, income, education, employment status, vaccine hesitancy, infection with COVID-19, and
- 206 COVID-19 vaccination on their support for mandatory COVID-19 vaccines for children 5-11
- years old.

208

- 209 The results of multivariable logistic regression analysis suggested that vaccine hesitant mothers,
- 210 those who tested positive for COVID-19, and those who had one or two doses of COVID-19
- vaccines were associated with a refusal to support mandatory COVID-19 vaccines.

- 213 The current study showed that 74.4% of the mothers rejected the idea of mandating COVID-19
- vaccines for children-while the minority (25.3%) were in favor. Although most studies focused
- on parents rather than mothers, our finding is similar to a study in Jordan where 77.6% of parents
- 216 opposed mandating COVID-19 vaccines for children.<sup>26</sup> Other studies, however, showed higher
- 217 rates of support for mandatory vaccines, including a study of parents of children aged 2-15 years

in India that found 81% endorsed COVID-19 mandatory vaccines for children.<sup>27</sup> In Poland. 218 219 44.4% of parents believed that vaccinations should be mandatory, <sup>23</sup> while in Germany the percentage was 31%. 28 When mandatory COVID-19 vaccination is linked to school attendance, 220 44% of caregivers stated that vaccines should never be mandated. 13 A similar result was obtained 221 222 from parents in New York City, which found that 44.3% supported school-based vaccine 223 mandates for students.<sup>29</sup> 224 225 One potential explanation for the strong opposition to mandatory vaccination in our study is that 226 our participants may have had low levels of COVID-19 vaccine literacy. Work on the vaccination of children during and prior to COVID-19 showed a link between health literacy and 227 the likelihood of childhood vaccination. 30-32 Hence, it is important to provide caregivers with 228 229 accurate information for future vaccines and to increase levels of trust in the health 230 establishment. 231 We found mothers who tested positive for COVID-19 and those who received COVID-19 232 233 vaccines were associated with higher odds of rejecting mandatory COVID-19 vaccines for their 234 children. One plausible explanation is that mothers had some concerns regarding the efficacy, 235 and safety of the vaccines, despite almost all of them having received COVID-19 vaccines, and 236 the belief that children may obtain immunity through infection. Another interpretation is that 237 mothers were hesitant adopters. That is, despite the fact that the majority of them had been vaccinated, they were vaccine hesitant.<sup>33</sup> 238 239 240 Our study has a few limitations. First, as with all self-reported surveys, there is a risk of potential 241 bias. In other words, mothers' responses may have been affected because of the presence of the 242 interviewers. They might have exaggerated some of the information provided, such as their 243 vaccination status, due to social desirability. Additionally, we utilized a convenience sample of 244 mothers attending health centers in one governorate, which limits the generalizability of our 245 findings. Third, the survey was conducted between February 20 and March 13, 2022, and the 246 perceived vaccine benefits and risks could have changed over time, especially with the 247 emergence of variants causing less severe disease. Fourth, the study results can't be applied to the 248 Omani community because most of the women who took part in the study have college degrees.

249 Finally, there were other variables that might influence mothers support for COVID-19 250 mandatory vaccines that we did not include in our study, such as belief in conspiracy theories 251 and perceived severity of the disease. 252 253 **Conclusion** 254 Despite these limitations, this study provides some insight on attitudes toward mandatory 255 COVID-19 vaccination for young children among mothers prior to the start of a vaccination 256 campaign targeting children aged 5-11. While new variants of COVID-19 continue to emerge 257 amid the waning of COVID-19 vaccine-inducted protection, evidence-based mandatory 258 childhood vaccination policies against COVID-19 and future pandemics that do not impose 259 unnecessary burdens on parents are desirable. 260 261 **Authors' Contribution** 262 SAA, FK, TA, AB developed the original idea and are the supervisors of the research study. AB, 263 TA, FK and SAA developed the questionnaire and drafted the study protocol. TA, SAA, and FK 264 data collection and assisted with ethical clearance. TK conducted data analysis. SAA, AB, FK, 265 TA wrote the draft manuscript and finalization of manuscript. All authors reviewed the analyzed 266 data, manuscript writing and provided input into the manuscript. All authors approved the final 267 version of the manuscript. 268 269 **Conflict of Interest** 270 The authors declare no conflict of interest. 271 272 **Funding** 273 No funding was received for this study. 274 Acknowledgements 275 276 We acknowledged each and every public health professional who helped us with the study's 277 execution.

## References

- 1. WHO. COVID-19 disease in children and adolescents. Interim statement on COVID-19 vaccination for children and adolescents. From: https://www.who.int/news/item/24-11-
- 282 <u>2021-interim-statement-on-covid-19-vaccination-for-children-and-adolescents. Accessed:</u>
- 283 <u>Dec 2023.</u>
- 284 2. Ward JL, Harwood R, Kenny S, Cruz J, Clark M, Davis PJ, et al. Pediatric
- 285 hospitalizations and ICU admissions due to COVID-19 and Pediatric Inflammatory
- 286 Multisystem Syndrome Temporally Associated with SARS-CoV-2 in England. JAMA
- 287 Pediatr. 2023 Jul 31;177(9):947–55. https://doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2023.2357.
- 288 3. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long COVID: Major findings, mechanisms
- and recommendations. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2023 Mar;21(3):133-146. doi:
- 290 10.1038/s41579-022-00846-2.
- 4. Antonelli M, Penfold RS, Merino J, Sudre CH, Molteni E, Berry S, et al. Risk factors and
- disease profile of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK users of the COVID
- Symptom Study app: a prospective, community-based, nested, case-control study. Lancet
- 294 Infect Dis 2022; 22: 43–55. https://doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00460-6.
- 5. Shmueli L. Parents' intention to vaccinate their 5- to 11-year-old children with the
- 296 COVID-19 vaccine: rates, predictors and the role of incentives. BMC Public Health.
- 297 2023 Feb 14;23(1):328. https://doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-15203-y.
- 6. Galanis P, Vraka I, Siskou O, Konstantakopoulou O, Katsiroumpa A, Kaitelidou D.
- Willingness, refusal and influential factors of parents to vaccinate their children against
- the COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Prev Med. 2022 Apr;157:106994.
- 301 https://doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2022.106994.
- 7. Alimoradi Z, Lin CY, Pakpour AH. Worldwide Estimation of Parental Acceptance of
- 303 COVID-19 Vaccine for Their Children: A Systematic review and meta-analysis. Vaccines
- 304 (Basel). 2023 Feb 24;11(3):533. https://doi: 10.3390/vaccines11030533.
- 8. Goldman RD, Krupik D, Ali S, Mater A, Hall JE, Bone JN, Thompson GC, Yen K,
- Griffiths MA, Klein A, Klein EJ, Brown JC, Mistry RD, Gelernter R, on behalf of the
- 307 International Covid-Parental Attitude Study COVIPAS Group. Caregiver willingness to
- vaccinate their children against COVID-19 after adult vaccine approval. Int J Environ
- 309 Res Public Health. 2021 Sep 28;18(19):10224. https://doi: 10.3390/ijerph181910224.

- 9. Fridman A, Gershon R, Gneezy A. COVID-19 and vaccine hesitancy: A longitudinal study. PLoS One. 2021 Apr 16;16(4):e0250123. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0250123.
- 312 10. Lutrick K, Fowlkes A, Rivers P, Herder K, Santibanez TA, LeClair L, et al. Parental
- intentions and perceptions toward covid-19 vaccination among children aged 4 months to
- 4 years PROTECT Cohort, Four States, July 2021-May 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal
- 315 Wkly Rep. 2022 Sep 2;71(35):1109-1114. https://doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7135a2.
- 316 11. Emily J Doucette, Leah Ricketson, Tarannum Tarannum, Isabella Alatorre, Joslyn Gray,
- Cora Constantinescu, Susan Kuhn, Jessica K E Dunn, James D Kellner, COVID-19
- vaccine confidence, concerns, and uptake in children aged 5 and older in Calgary,
- Alberta: a longitudinal cohort study, *Paediatrics & Child Health*, 2023;
- 320 pxad062, https://doi.org/10.1093/pch/pxad062
- 321 12. Kreps SE, Kriner DL. How do COVID-19 vaccine mandates affect attitudes toward the
- vaccine and participation in mandate-affected activities? Evidence from the United
- 323 States. Vaccine. 2022 Dec 5;40(51):7460-7465. https://doi:
- 324 10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.02.083.
- 325 13. Baumer-Mouradian SH, Hart RJ, Bone JN, Seiler M, Olson P, Keitel K, Manzano S, et
- al. International COVID-19 Parental Attitude Study (COVIPAS) Group\*. Should
- 327 COVID-19 vaccines be mandated in schools? an international caregiver perspective.
- 328 Vaccine. 2022 Aug 26;40(36):5384-5390. https://doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.07.038.
- 329 14. Bardosh K, de Figueiredo A, Gur-Arie R, Jamrozik E, Doidge J, Lemmens T, et al. The
- unintended consequences of COVID-19 vaccine policy: why mandates, passports and
- restrictions may cause more harm than good. BMJ Glob Health. 2022 May;7(5):e008684.
- 332 https://doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2022-008684.
- 15. Canning AG, Watson KE, McCreedy KE, Olawepo JO. Ethics and effectiveness of US
- COVID-19 vaccine mandates and vaccination passports: A review. J Res Health Sci. 2022
- 335 May 11;22(2):e00546. https://doi: 10.34172/jrhs.2022.81.
- 16. Kraaijeveld SR, Gur-Arie R, Jamrozik E. Against COVID-19 vaccination of healthy
- children. Bioethics. 2022 Jul;36(6):687-698. doi: 10.1111/bioe.13015.
- 338 17. Paul S, Mishra CM. Do we need to vaccinate every child against COVID-19: What
- evidence suggests-A systematic review of opinions. Front Public Health. 2022 Nov
- 340 8;10:1002992. https://doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1002992.

- 18. Sehgal NKR, Rader B, Gertz A, Astley CM, Brownstein JS. Parental compliance and
   reasons for COVID-19 Vaccination among American children. PLOS Digit Health. 2023
   Apr 12;2(4):e0000147. https://doi: 10.1371/journal.pdig.0000147.
- 19. Nguyen KH, Levisohn A, McChesney C, Vasudevan L, Bednarczyk RA, Corlin L.
   Disparities in child and adolescent COVID-19 vaccination coverage and parental intent toward vaccinations for their children and adolescents. Ann Med. 2023
   Dec;55(1):2232818. https://doi: 10.1080/07853890.2023.2232818.
- 20. Khatatbeh M, Albalas S, Khatatbeh H, Momani W, Melhem O, Al Omari O, Tarhini Z,
  A'aqoulah A, et al. Children's rates of COVID-19 vaccination as reported by parents,
  vaccine hesitancy, and determinants of COVID-19 vaccine uptake among children: a
  multi-country study from the Eastern Mediterranean Region. BMC Public Health. 2022
  Jul 18;22(1):1375. https://doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-13798-2.
  - 21. Grignolio Corsini A, Zagarella RM, Adamo M, Caporale C. From COVID-19 vaccine candidates to compulsory vaccination: The attitudes of Italian citizens in the key 7-month of vaccination campaign. Vaccine. 2023 Apr 6;41(15):2582-2588. https://doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.02.081.

353

354

355

356

357

358

- 22. Aedh AI. Parents'Attitudes, Their Acceptance of the COVID-19 Vaccines for Children and the Contributing Factors in Najran, Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional survey. Vaccines (Basel). 2022 Aug 6;10(8):1264. https://doi: 10.3390/vaccines10081264
- 23. Babicki M, Pokorna-Kałwak D, Doniec Z, Mastalerz-Migas A. Attitudes of parents with
   regard to vaccination of children against COVID-19 in Poland. A nationwide online
   survey. vaccines (Basel). 2021 Oct 17;9(10):1192. https://doi: 10.3390/vaccines9101192.
- 24. Thunström L, Ashworth M, Finnoff D, Newbold SC. Hesitancy toward a COVID-19
   vaccine. Ecohealth. 2021 Mar;18(1):44-60. https://doi: 10.1007/s10393-021-01524-0.
- 25. Temsah MH, Alhuzaimi AN, Aljamaan F, Bahkali F, Al-Eyadhy A, Alrabiaah A, et al.
   Parental Attitudes and Hesitancy About COVID-19 vs. Routine childhood vaccinations: a
   national survey. Front Public Health. 2021 Oct 13; 9:752323. https://doi:
   10.3389/fpubh.2021.752323.
- 26. Abuhammad S, Khader Y, Hamaideh S. Attitude of parents toward vaccination against
   COVID-19 for own children in Jordan: A cross-sectional study. Inform Med Unlocked.
   2022; 31:101000. https://doi: 10.1016/j.imu.2022.101000.

372 27. Mohan R, Pandey V, Kumar A, Gangadevi P, Goel AD, Joseph J, Kurien N. Acceptance 373 and attitude of parents regarding COVID-19 vaccine for children: A cross-sectional study. 374 Cureus. 2022 Apr 27;14(4):e24518. https://doi: 10.7759/cureus.24518. 375 28. Mohan R, Pandey V, Kumar A, et al. Acceptance and attitude of parents regarding covid-376 19 vaccine for children: A cross-sectional study. Cureus. 2022;14(4):e24518. 377 doi:10.7759/cureus.24518 378 29. Teasdale CA, Ratzan S, Stuart Lathan H, Rauh L, Kimball S, El-Mohandes A. 379 Acceptability of COVID-19 vaccine mandates among New York City parents, November 380 2021. Vaccine. 2022 Jun 9;40(26):3540-3545. https://doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.05.010. 381 30. Amit Aharon A, Nehama H, Rishpon S, Baron-Epel O. Parents with high levels of 382 communicative and critical health literacy are less likely to vaccinate their children. Patient Educ Couns. 2017 Apr;100(4):768-775. https://doi:10.1016/j.pec.2016.11.016. 383 384 31. Fenta ET, Tiruneh MG, Delie AM, Kidie AA, Ayal BG, Limenh LW, et al. Health literacy 385 and COVID-19 vaccine acceptance worldwide: A systematic review. SAGE Open Med. 386 2023 Oct 9;11:20503121231197869. https://doi:10.1177/20503121231197869. 32. Bektas İ, Bektas M. The effects of parents' vaccine hesitancy and COVID-19 vaccine 387 388 literacy on attitudes toward vaccinating their children during the pandemic. J Pediatr Nurs. 2023 Jul-Aug;71:e70-e74. https://doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2023.04.016. 389 390 33. Moore R, Purvis RS, Hallgren E, Willis DE, Hall S, Reece S, et al. Motivations to 391 vaccinate among hesitant adopters of the COVID-19 vaccine. J Community Health. 2022

Apr:47(2):237-245. https://doi: 10.1007/s10900-021-01037-5.

392

**Table 1:** Characteristics of the Study Sample.

Characteristics	N (%) *		
Age (M = 37.61, SD 5.29)	700		
Monthly income			
≤ 4,679 USD (1802 Riyals Oman)	233 (46.9)		
≥ 4,681 USD (1724 Riyals Oman)	264 (53.1)		
Education			
College degree of higher	510 (72.9)		
High school or less	189 (27.1)		
Employment status	A (7)		
Working	492 (72.3)		
Not working	203 (29.7)		
COVID-19 experience			
Tested positive	349 (53.9)		
Tested negative	299 (46.1)		
Not sure/do not know	52 (7.4)		
Vaccination status			
One or two doses	443 (63)		
Two doses and booster	251 (36.2)		
COVID-19 vaccine decision maker			
Mother or father only	49 (7.1)		
Both mother and father	639 (92.5)		

*Note*. N = 700

**Table 2:** Odds Ratio and 95% Confidence Interval of Opposition to Mandating COVID-19 Vaccination of Children 5-11 Years Old by Vaccine Hesitancy, Vaccination Status, COVID-19 Test Result, and

Demographic Variables.

Variable	Univariable model OR [95% CI]	<i>p</i> -value	Multivariable model OR [95% CI]	<i>p</i> -value
Age (ref: $\geq$ 39 years)				
≤ 38 years	2.35 [1.62, 3.41]	0.00	1.06 [.58, 1.93]	.86
Income (ref: $\geq 1,800$ )				
Less than 1,800	2.98 [1.90, 4.67]	0.00	1.09 [.58, 2.03]	.79
Education (ref: College degree or higher)				
High school or less	1.42 [.93, 2.18]	0.11		
Employment (ref: Working)				
Not working	1.27 [.84, 1.92]	0.25		
Vaccine hesitancy (ref: Low hesitancy)				
High hesitancy	11.73 [7.56, 18.19]	0.00	9.82 [5.27, 18.28]	0.00
COVID-test (ref: Tested negative)				
Tested positive	2.75 [1.87, 4.06]	0.00	3.25 [1.80, 5.86]	0.00
Vaccination Status (ref: Two doses and boosted)				
One/two doses	2.75 [1.87, 4.0]	0.00	5.41 [2.92, 10.03]	0.00

<sup>\*</sup>Total percent may not sum to 100% because of missing responses