1	SUBMITTED 9 JUL 24
2	REVISION REQ. 21 AUG 24; REVISION RECD. 7 SEP 24
3	ACCEPTED 25 SEP 24
4	ONLINE-FIRST: OCTOBER 2024
5	DOI: https://doi.org/10.18295/squmj.10.2024.053
6	
7	Biofilm-Induced Bone Degradation in Osteomyelitis
8	Insights from a comprehensive ex-vivo pathogen interaction study
9	*Ahmed Al Ghaithi, ¹ John Husband, ² Attika Al Bimani, ³ Mohammed Al
10	Kindi, ³ Sultan Al Maskari ¹
11	
12	Departments of ¹ Surgery, ² Chemistry and ³ Microbiology & Immunology, Sultan Qaboos
13	University, Muscat, Oman
14	*Corresponding Author's e-mail: <u>a.alghaithi@squ.edu.om</u>
15	
16	Abstract
17	Objectives: Osteomyelitis, marked by bone inflammation due to microbial infection, presents
18	significant healthcare challenges. While the protective role of biofilm in bacterial immunity
19	and persistence is well-documented, its direct impact on bone degradation in osteomyelitis
20	remains inadequately characterized. This study aims to comprehensively examine the direct
21	effects of biofilm-forming pathogens on human bone, providing new insights into the
22	mechanisms of bone destruction in osteomyelitis. Methods: Bone sections were collected
23	from patients undergoing total knee replacement surgery between January 2021 and
24	December 2022 for this study at Sultan Qaboos University Hospital. Then samples were
25	inoculated with Staphylococcus aureus to simulate in-vitro bone infection. We then used
26	Raman spectroscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for detailed analysis of the
27	bacterial interaction with bone tissue. Results: SEM images depicted trabecular destruction
28	caused by biofilm. Biofilm-forming pathogens contribute directly to bone exhibited by SEM
29	images with marked trabecular destruction. Raman analysis showed a significant increase in
30	the carbonate-phosphate ratio in inoculated samples (619%) compared to controls (47%).
31	Mineral content decreased in inoculated samples, and the carbonate-to-amide I ratio reduced
32	by 47% in inoculated and 80% in controls. The inoculated samples exhibited an 82% shift in
33	collagen crosslinking compared to 72% in controls. Conclusion: This research enhances our

- comprehension of the mechanisms behind bone destruction in osteomyelitis and underscores 34 the intricate role of biofilm in the disease's development. These findings highlight the 35 importance of biofilm in bone degradation and its potential implications for managing 36 infections. 37 Keywords: Biofilm, Osteomyelitis, Bone and Bones, Raman Spectroscopy Microscopy, 38 39 Electron, Scanning, Infection Control 40 **Advances in Knowledge** 41 - Using Raman spectroscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy, we've unveiled direct 42 impact of biofilm-forming pathogens on bone degradation in osteomyelitis. 43 - This knowledge challenges existing assumptions and could lead to more precise diagnostic 44 and treatment strategies for osteomyelitis. 45 46 47 **Application to Patient Care** - Our findings offer insights into the direct role of biofilm in bone deterioration, with 48 potential implications for improving infection management approaches. 49 50 51 Introduction: Osteomyelitis (OM) is an inflammatory condition of the bone caused by microbial infection, 52 53 which can lead to bone necrosis and degradation. Typically, bones are sterile and resistant to bacterial colonization; however, trauma, surgery, or hematogenous spread of pathogens can 54 55 compromise this integrity, resulting in infection¹. Due to the varied manifestations of OM, many researchers have investigated its origins and developed systematic approaches for 56 57 study, focusing on infection-related data such as blood tests, imaging, tissue examination, and bacterial cultures²⁻⁴. While some studies have examined the immune response's role in bone 58 59 degradation, there has been limited focus on biofilm formation and its impact on bone damage³. 60 61 Most OM pathogens can adhere to and proliferate on bone surfaces, joints, and implants, 62 causing bone resorption. The precise mechanisms by which bacteria induce bone resorption 63 remain unclear, though some evidence suggests that bacterial molecules on cell surfaces and 64 secretions can trigger bone degradation⁴. Research has largely centered on the indirect effects 65
- of biofilms through host immune responses, with less attention on the direct impact of
- 67 biofilm secretions on bone deterioration³⁻⁶. The biofilm hypothesis, proposed in 1978, posits

that bacteria can thrive in surface-bound communities, shielded from host defenses and

69 antimicrobials^{7–8}. This theory suggests biofilm-forming pathogens may directly damage

tissues, although the clinical significance of direct bone resorption remains poorly

71 understood.

72

Adam et al. proposed that virulent planktonic bacteria adhere to bone surfaces, form biofilms,
and secrete extracellular matrices that promote bacterial adhesion, ultimately leading to bone
cavitation and fragment detachment³. In chronic OM, avascular bone can harbor pathogenic
biofilms, causing further bone lysis and cavitation⁹.

77

This study aimed to explore the effects of biofilm-forming pathogens on human bone in an ex vivo setting, allowing us to investigate the impact without interference from the host immune response. This approach could enhance our understanding and inform more targeted OM treatments. Raman spectroscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy were employed to achieve our research objectives.

83

84 Methods:

85 Preparation of Bone Samples:

The approval of the study was obtained from Medical Research & Ethics Committee of the 86 87 College of Medicine & Health Sciences at Sultan Qaboos University (SQU-EC1039114) Bone sections were collected from patients undergoing total knee replacement surgery 88 89 between January 2021 and December 2022 for this study. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants prior to sample collection. Sterile cancellous bone samples, 90 91 measuring 1-2 cm², were meticulously cut using bone nibblers. These samples were then 92 stored under sterile conditions in Normal Saline at a temperature of -20°C until the day of 93 inoculation. Rigorous aseptic precautions were maintained throughout the entire process of bone sample handling. To ensure sterility, culture swabs were taken from the bone pieces and 94 plated on blood agar medium for a 48-hour period just prior to processing. None of the 95 samples displayed bacterial growth, nor did any of the patients develop subsequent infections. 96

97

98 Creation of Bacterial Inoculum:

99 A bacterial inoculum of Staphylococcus aureus was prepared from an overnight culture. A

sterile solution of normal saline was mixed with the bacterial concentrate, resulting in

101 concentrations ranging from $1 \ge 10^{6}$ to $1 \ge 10^{7}$ colony-forming units (CFU)/ml.

- 102 Subsequently, the bone samples were introduced into the bacterial mixture. As a control
- 103 group, bone samples were immersed in sterile normal saline. Each mixture consisted of 12
- 104 bone pieces. The total of 24 samples were then incubated at 37°C to facilitate bacterial
- 105 growth and proliferation. Every week, two bone samples from each mixture were selected for
- 106 examination. Following the examination, the samples were disposed of in accordance with
- 107 the established protocols for human tissue disposal at our hospital.
- 108

109 Examination of Bacterial Growth and Bone Resorption:

110 Scanning Electron Microscopy Setup:

111 The bone samples were fixed in Karnovsky's Electron Microscopy fixative, a solution

112 containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde at pH 7.2, for a duration of 4 hours. Afterward, they were

113 washed twice with a washing buffer for 10 minutes each time. The samples were then post-

- fixed using 1% osmium tetroxide for an hour, followed by a dehydration process using
- various concentrations of ethanol (25%, 75%, 95%, and 99.9%). The dried samples were
- 116 mounted on aluminium stubs using Autosamdri-815[®] (Rockville, MD, USA), and
- subsequently coated with a layer of gold using the BioRad SEM coating system. Micrographs
- 118 were obtained using a JEOL JSM-5600LV scanning electron microscope (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo,
- 119 Japan).
- 120

121 Raman Spectroscopy Setup:

Raman spectra of the bone samples were acquired using a Raman spectrometer (I-Raman Ex
spectrometer, BWTek, Newark, USA) equipped with an excitation laser diode operating at
1064nm. Spectra were recorded within the range of 400-2000 cm^{-1.}

125

126 Analysis of Spectra:

The obtained spectra were analysed using BWSpec[®] software (BWTek, Newark, USA). This
software facilitated automated baseline correction and signal averaging to enhance signal-to-

- noise ratios. Key Raman bands were manually identified, and the impact of the bacterial
- 130 osteolytic process on various aspects of bone composition, including mineral content,
- 131 carbonate content, collagen cross-linking, and mineral and collagen fibril orientation, was
- 132 studied.
- 133

134 Statistical Analysis:

- 135 Statistical analysis of Raman parameters from inoculated bone samples was compared to the
- 136 control group using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 2016 (SPSS) (IBM
- 137 Corporations, New York- USA). This analysis aimed to determine any alterations in the
- 138 ultrastructure of the examined bone architecture. The threshold for significance was set at a P
- 139 value of < 0.05, and a Paired T-test was employed.
- 140

141 **Results:**

142 Isolation of bacteria from analysed surfaces:

143 Bacterial biofilms that grew under the conditions described in the Methods section were

successfully isolated from the surfaces of the examined samples using swabs. These swabs

- 145 were cultured in blood agar medium for a duration of 48 hours. Over eight consecutive
- 146 weeks, cultured swabs from the inoculated samples showed positive results, in contrast to the
- 147 negative cultures from the control samples. The average bacterial count in the agar dishes
- 148 was measured at 5 x 10^5 CFU/ml.
- 149

150 Raman spectrometry analysis:

151 Utilizing Raman spectrometry, we conducted a comprehensive study of the micro-

- architectural changes in the bone. The standard bone spectrum demonstrated distinct peaks
- 153 for phosphate (958 cm⁻¹) and carbonate (1070 cm⁻¹) in Figure 1A. The Raman bands at 851,
- 154 873, and 917 cm⁻¹ were indicative of the collagen and hydroxyproline matrix, while the band
- at 1001 cm⁻¹ was characteristic of phenylalanine. The band ranging from 1210 to 1320 cm⁻¹
- 156 corresponded to amide III. Figure 1B displayed the average Raman spectra collected from
- 157 both the control and inoculated samples after eight weeks of incubation.
- 158

The ratio of carbonate to phosphate serves as an indicator of bone mineral content, which notably increased over time in the inoculated bone samples compared to the control group. This points to a change in bone crystallinity, shifting from a hard matrix to a brittle one. The control group's mean values ranged from 0.19 to 0.28 across different weeks, while inoculated samples showed ratios varying from 0.21 to 1.51. In week 8 the increase in ratio was significant at 61.9% in the inoculated samples, compared to 47% in the controls (P >

- 165 0.05, figure 2A).
- 166

A progressive reduction in mineral content was observed in the inoculated samples when compared to the control group. Phosphate-to-amide ratios in control samples varied over weeks, peaking at week one (13.87) and dropping at week eight (6.25). Inoculated samples followed a similar pattern, with the highest ratio at week one (13.20) and the lowest at week eight (2.39). While the inoculated samples experienced an 82% reduction, the control group

showed a 55% reduction at week 8. However, this difference was not statistically significant

173 (P < 0.05, figure 2B).

174

175 Control samples demonstrated variable carbonate-to-Amide I ratios, spanning from 0.04 to

176 0.0214 across the eight weeks. Inoculated samples also showed variation, with values ranging

177 from 0.06 to 0.0119 at week 8. The inoculated samples experienced a 47% reduction in these

ratios, whereas the control group exhibited an 80% reduction. However, the difference was

179 not statistically significant (P < 0.05, figure 2C).

180

The organic composition of the examined bone samples was assessed using the ratio of amide I Raman band at 1660 cm⁻¹ to 1668 cm⁻¹. Mean ratios in control samples ranged from 3.89 to 1.09 across different weeks, while inoculated samples displayed varying ratios from 3.149 to 0.56. An 82% shift in the amide band was observed in the inoculated samples compared to 72% in the control group. However, this difference was not statistically significant (P < 0.05, figure 2D).

187

188 Scanning Electron Microscopy results:

In the critical stages of the sixth and eighth weeks of our experimental timeline, a significant 189 juncture was reached with the meticulous examination of bone samples utilizing scanning 190 electron microscopy (SEM). The SEM images unveiled a striking divergence between the 191 192 control and inoculated groups. In the control samples, the SEM images showcased the characteristic appearance of cancellous bone, reminiscent of a honeycomb structure. This 193 familiar honeycomb trabecular pattern is a hallmark of healthy bone tissue and was faithfully 194 preserved in the control group. Conversely, the images from the inoculated specimens 195 196 revealed a profoundly altered landscape. Within these samples, the SEM unveiled a stark departure from the organized trabecular architecture seen in the control samples. Instead, a 197 remarkable destruction of the trabeculae was observed. The detrimental impact of the 198 Staphylococcus aureus biofilm on the bone's internal structure was readily apparent. 199 200 Furthermore, the SEM examination allowed for a comprehensive analysis across various

magnification levels. These different magnifications accentuated the extent of the trabecular
 destruction caused by the biofilm. Figure 3 serves as a poignant representation of these
 observations.

204

205 **Discussion**:

This study revealed discernible cavitation and disruption within the examined bone samples,
a phenomenon that was evident through the employed SEM. The outcomes of this
experimental investigation underscored the capacity of pathogenic biofilm to directly trigger
bone resorption, mirroring the clinical scenarios encountered in cases of osteomyelitis.
Notably, the efficacy of Raman spectroscopy in detecting these structural alterations was also
demonstrated.

212

All inoculated bone samples subjected to Raman testing exhibited noteworthy quantitative 213 and qualitative ultrastructural deterioration induced by the biofilm, distinguishing them from 214 the control samples. The selection of Raman spectroscopy was based on its ability to 215 meticulously analyse molecular changes within materials at an ultrastructural level.^{12,17} 216 Notable differences between the two groups emerged in later time points, particularly in 217 218 factors like the phosphate-to-amide I ratio, carbonate-to-amide I ratio, and amide 1660-to-1668 ratio. However, the pivotal finding remains that bacterial biofilm was accompanied by 219 marked and noteworthy bone degradation, particularly evident in the initial weeks. As the 220 experiment progressed, it is conceivable that bacterial counts began to decline due to 221 222 dwindling nutrient availability in the media, potentially leading to a reduction in osteolytic activity during the later stages. Similar outcomes are observed when examining non-healthy 223 bone samples, indicating a deterioration in the ultrastructural composition of the bone.^{17,18} 224 Furthermore, this study highlights the fact that the occurrence of biofilm formation is not 225 226 limited solely to chronic osteomyelitis, as previously elucidated by Adam J et al. Their comprehensive experiment unveiled the ability of diverse bacterial strains, to effectively 227 cultivate mature biofilms within a mere seven-day timeframe across various substrate 228 surfaces. Notably, this formation of biofilm was noticed regardless of the specific culture 229 230 media used for growing them, highlighting the strength and adaptability of these biofilm structures. ⁵ This understanding emphasizes the necessity for carefulness in handling acute 231 infections, revealing the likelihood of biofilm formation in the early stages of the disease. It 232 underscores the complexities posed by biofilm structures, where bacteria within biofilms 233 exhibit antibiotic resistance levels ranging from 10 to 1000 times higher than their planktonic 234

counterparts. ¹⁶ This strategy is particularly crucial when managing infected surgical wounds
that involve metallic implants, as the decision to retain or remove the implant presents a
challenging dilemma. The presence of a biofilm on the implant surface can lead to persistent
infection and compromised healing, necessitating a comprehensive approach to disrupt the
biofilm and prevent its reformation.^{4,19}

240

A primary and highly effective approach for addressing biofilm infections revolves around 241 physical removal, coupled with inhibiting biofilm reconstitution. Therefore, establishing an 242 243 effective management approach for bone infection necessitates exploring into the etiopathogenesis of the biofilm formation. This entails acquiring a comprehensive 244 understanding of the complex molecular interactions that transpire not only among bacteria 245 themselves but also between bacteria and the host. The process of biofilm formation is 246 generally categorized into four primary stages^{19,20}: initial bacterial attachment to a surface, 247 subsequent microcolony development, then progression towards biofilm maturation, and 248 eventual detachment, often referred to as dispersal. This detachment enables the released 249 bacteria to potentially colonize new surfaces and areas.²⁰ 250

251

This is why, to enhance efforts of addressing the biofilm, novel strategies are being 252 investigated targeting these formation stages. These encompass preventing initial bacterial 253 254 attachment to surfaces, disrupting cell-to-cell signaling pathways, utilizing bacteriostatic or bactericidal agents, and exploring the potential of anti-biofilm materials while using implants. 255 256 These emerging tactics hold substantial promise in addressing the complexities posed by biofilm infections, offering the potential for more targeted and efficacious treatment 257 strategies. As an illustration, Caluss M. et al conducted a prospective nonrandomized 258 comparative study that encompassed 135 cases of lesser toe deformities. Their investigation 259 260 involved analyzing biofilm-related infections using sonication. The findings revealed a diminished biofilm formation (load) on titanium K wires in contrast to stainless steel K wires. 261 Furthermore, employing titanium K wires yielded improved clinical outcomes compared to 262 their stainless-steel counterparts.²¹ 263

264

265 Conclusion:

This research enhances our comprehension of the mechanisms behind bone destruction in osteomyelitis and underscores the intricate role of biofilm in the disease's development.

- These findings highlight the importance of biofilm in bone degradation and its potentialimplications for managing infections.
- 270

271 Authors' Contribution

- AG and JH contributed to developing the project idea, helped interpret the results and
- 273 prepared and revised the manuscript. AB and MK contributed to idea development, data
- collection, preparation and revising the manuscript. SM contributed to developing the idea
- and critically revising the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of themanuscript.
- 277
- 278 Conflict of Interest
- 279 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- 280
- 281 Funding
- 282 Open Access funding provided by Oman National Research Council, Muscat, Oman.
- 283
- 284 Data Availability Statement
- All data generated in the current study are available from the corresponding author on
- 286 reasonable request.
- 287

288 **References**:

- 1. Kavanagh N, Ryan EJ, Widaa A, Sexton G, Fennell J, O'Rourke S, et al. Staphylococcal
- 290 Osteomyelitis: Disease Progression, Treatment Challenges, and Future Directions. Clin
- 291 Microbiol Rev. 2018 Apr;31(2). doi: 10.1128/CMR.00084-17.
- 292 2. Norden CW. Lessons learned from animal models of osteomyelitis. Rev Infect Dis. 1988
- 293 Feb;10(1):103–10. PMID: 3282645.
- 294 3. Al Farii H, Zhou S, Albers A. Management of Osteomyelitis in Sickle Cell Disease:
- Review Article. J Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev. 2020 Sep;4(9):e20.00002-10. doi:
- 296 10.5435/JAAOSGlobal-D-20-00002. PMID: 32890008; PMCID: PMC7470010.
- 297 4. Hashmi, A. Z. A., Fazari, E. A., Ward, M. A., Masruri, R. A., Balushi, S. A., Mutani, M.
- 298 A., Ghaithi, A. A., & Baluki, W. A. (2024). Predictors of Developing a Complex Course of
- 299 Osteomyelitis in Patients with Sickle Cell Anaemia. Sultan Qaboos University medical
- 300 *journal*, 24(1), 58–62. https://doi.org/10.18295/squmj.12.2023.083

- 301 5. Junka A, Szymczyk P, Ziółkowski G, Karuga-Kuzniewska E, Smutnicka D, Bil-Lula I, et
- al. Bad to the Bone: On In Vitro and Ex Vivo Microbial Biofilm Ability to Directly Destroy
- 303 Colonized Bone Surfaces without Participation of Host Immunity or Osteoclastogenesis. PloS
- 304 One. 2017;12(1):e0169565. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169565.
- 6. Khalid, M., Bora, T., Ghaithi, A.A. et al. Raman Spectroscopy detects changes in Bone
- 306 Mineral Quality and Collagen Cross-linkage in Staphylococcus Infected Human Bone. Sci
- 307 Rep 8, 9417 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27752-z
- 308 7. Young AB, Cooley ID, Chauhan VS, Marriott I. Causative agents of osteomyelitis induce
- 309 death domain-containing TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptor expression on
- 310 osteoblasts. Bone. 2011 Apr 1;48(4):857–63. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2010.11.015.⁴
- 8. Nair SP, Meghji S, Wilson M, Reddi K, White P, Henderson B. Bacterially induced bone
- destruction: mechanisms and misconceptions. Infect Immun. 1996 Jul;64(7):2371–80. PMID:
- **313** 8698529.
- 9. Khalil H, Williams RJ, Stenbeck G, Henderson B, Meghji S, Nair SP. Invasion of bone
- cells by Staphylococcus epidermidis. Microbes Infect. 2007 Apr;9(4):460–5. doi:
- **316** 10.1016/j.micinf.2007.01.011.
- 10. Morris MD, Mandair GS. Raman assessment of bone quality. Clin Orthop. 2011
- 318 Aug;469(8):2160–9. doi: 10.1007/s11999-010-1739-0.
- 319 11. Gong B, Morris MD. Raman spectroscopy monitors adverse bone sequelae of cancer
- 320 radiotherapy. Chin Chem Lett. 2015 Apr 1;26(4):401–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cclet.2014.12.015.
- 12. Stoodley P, Ehrlich GD, Sedghizadeh PP, Hall-Stoodley L, Baratz ME, Altman DT, et al.
- 322 Orthopaedic biofilm infections. Curr Orthop Pract. 2011 Nov;22(6):558–63. doi:
- 323 10.1097/BCO.0b013e3182356ca2.
- 324 13. Lee K-J, Goodman SB. Identification of periprosthetic joint infection after total hip
- arthroplasty. J Orthop Transl. 2015 Jan 1;3(1):21–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jot.2014.10.002.
- 326 14. Del Pozo JL, Patel R. Infection Associated with Prosthetic Joints. N Engl J Med. 2009
- 327 Aug 20;361(8):787–94. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra0803502.
- 328 15. Cassat JE, Hammer ND, Campbell JP, Benson MA, Perrien DS, Mrak LN, et al. A
- 329 secreted bacterial protease tailors the Staphylococcus aureus virulence repertoire to modulate
- bone remodelling during osteomyelitis. Cell Host Microbe. 2013 Jun 12;13(6):759–72. doi:
- 331 10.1016/j.chom.2013.05.003.
- 332 16. Al Ghaithi A, Al Bimani A, Al Maskari S. Investigating the Growth of Pseudomonas
- aeruginosa and Its Influence on Osteolysis in Human Bone: An In Vitro Study. Strategies
- Trauma Limb Reconstr. 2021 Sep-Dec;16(3):127-131. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10080-1534.

- 335 17. Al-Ghaithi A, Husband J, Al-Maskari S. Analysis of Bone Microarchitectural Changes
- and Structural Damage in Sickle Cell Disease-Induced Avascular Necrosis Using Raman
- 337 Spectroscopy: Is there potential for medical management? Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2021
- 338 May;21(2):e297-e301. doi: 10.18295/squmj.2021.21.02.020.
- 18. Shen J, Fan L, Yang J, Shen AG, Hu JM. A longitudinal Raman microspectroscopic study
- of osteoporosis induced by spinal cord injury. Osteoporos Int. 2010;21:81–7. doi:
- 341 10.1007/s00198-009-0949-3.
- 19. Penesyan, A., Nagy, S.S., Kjelleberg, S. et al. Rapid microevolution of biofilm cells in
- response to antibiotics. npj Biofilms Microbiomes 5, 34 (2019). doi: 10.1038/s41522-019-
- **344** 0108-3.
- 20. Crouzet, M., Le Senechal, C., Brözel, V.S. et al. Exploring early steps in biofilm
- formation: set-up of an experimental system for molecular studies. BMC Microbiol 14, 253
- 347 (2014). doi: 10.1186/s12866-014-0253-z.
- 348 21. Clauss, M., Graf, S., Gersbach, S., Hintermann, B., Ilchmann, T., & Knupp, M. (2013).
- 349 Material and biofilm load of K wires in toe surgery: titanium versus stainless steel. Clinical
- orthopaedics and related research, 471(7), 2312–2317. doi: 10.1007/s11999-013-2882-7.
- 351



352



Figure 1: **A**: Typical Raman spectrum of healthy human bone with labelled waves' peaks of

- 355 interest. **B**: Average Raman spectra collected from both control and inoculated samples at
- 356 eight weeks of incubation.

357

353





Figure 2: (A) Shows an increase in carbonate to phosphate ratio in inoculated samples
compared to controls indicating changing bone crystallinity and transformation to a brittle
matrix. (B&C) shows the reduction in mineral content relative to the organic material in
inoculated samples compared to controls. (D) collagen crosslinking ratio shown as shift of the
Raman bands at 1660cm⁻¹ to 1668 cm⁻¹ in inoculated samples compared to controls
representing the destruction of collagen cross linkage.



Figure 3: SEM images showing a divergence between the control and inoculated groups.

366